

Growth, survival and nutrient utilization of the brackish water catfish (*Chrysichthys walkeri*) fry as affected by dietary protein and energy levels

S.O. Obasa

Department of Aquaculture and Fisheries Management, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria

Abstract

The effect of varying dietary protein and calorie levels on the growth, survival and nutrient utilization of 540 brackish water catfish (*Chrysichthys walkeri*) fry average liveweight of 0.14g was investigated in a 3x3 factorial experiment with 3 calorie levels (2800, 3000 and 3200 Kcal ME/Kg diet) and 3 levels of crude protein (36%, 39% and 42%) giving a total of 9 diets, fed to the fish for a period of 56 days. Mean weight gain, specific growth rate, feed conversion ratio, survival and protein productive value were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in fish fed diet 6 (3000 Kcal ME/Kg and 42% crude protein) when compared to other diets. Weight gain was highest in diet 6 with a value of 0.64g and lowest (significantly $P < 0.05$) with a value of 0.46g in diet 7. Percentage survival was highest in diet 6 with a value of 71.67% and lowest in diet 1 (2800Kcal ME/Kg and 36% crude protein) with a value of 53.34%, while protein productive value was highest in diet 6 (78.40) and lowest in diet 2 (2800Kcal ME/Kg, 39% crude protein) with a value of 64.33%. Thus, *C. walkeri* fry survived and performed best when fed on 42% crude protein and 3000Kcal ME/kg diet.

Key words: Growth, protein, Calorie, *Chrysichthys walkeri*.

Introduction

The level and efficiency of production of any animal depends on the provision of adequate protein, energy, vitamin and mineral salts in the diet in the right proportions. In broad classification of dietary essentials, nutritionally and economically, protein and energy are probably the ones of greatest significant. It is to our advantage therefore, to make the best possible use of both energy and protein by ensuring that the amount required for maximum growth are optimum in the diet (Davidson, 1961). This is because the worth of a diet is its potentiality to provide the fish with nutrients for maintenance and growth.

Moreover, Lovell (1989) noted that a dietary excess or deficiency of useful energy can reduce

growth rate. The reason is because energy needs for maintenance and voluntary activity must be satisfied before energy is available for growth. He further stressed that protein will be used for energy when the diet is deficient in energy relation to protein. On the other hand, a diet containing excess energy can restrict food consumption and thus prevent the intake of necessary amount of protein and other nutrients for maximum growth.

Although, information on the requirements for protein in most culturable indigenous fish species are very much available (Faturoti *et al.*, 1986, De Silva and Gunasekara, 1989, Faturoti and Obasa, 1992 and Obasa and Faturoti, 2000), little or no work has been done on the energy or calorie requirement. Xianghua(1988) recorded a

daily energy budget running from 3100 to 3400 Kcal ME/Kg feed in mud carp while Smith (1989) reported 3000 Kcal DE/Kg of feed in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). On the above background, this work was undertaken, to assess the caloric and protein needs of the brackish water catfish (*C. walkeri*) fry, an indigenous species with high consumer acceptance and commercial importance in Nigeria.

Materials and Methods

The design of this experiment was 3x3 factorial with 3 levels of energy (2800, 3000 and 3200 Kcal ME/Kg), and 3 levels of crude protein (36%, 39% and 42%) giving a total of 9 treatments (Table 1). Five hundred and forty (540) fry of *C. walkeri* fry of 0.14g mean weight, hatched in the hatchery of the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Management, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria were stocked in plastic tanks of 30l capacity at the rate of 30 fish per tank. Every treatment was replicated and a constant water volume of 20l was maintained in each tank. Feeding of fish started immediately after egg yolk absorption. Fish were fed to satiation three times at 8.00, 14.00 and 18.00 h daily for a period of 8 weeks. Left over feeds and faeces were siphoned out daily, while stale water drained every other day to maintain relatively uniform physico-chemical parameters.

Dissolved oxygen was determined weekly by the Winkler titrimetric method (Mackarath 1963) while hydrogen ion concentration was measured weekly using pH meter (E.52.0) Metrohm model. Water temperatures in the experimental system was measured daily by using mercury thermometer. Aeration was facilitated by the use of aerators (TECAS 180) model. Batch weighing of fish in each tank was carried out at the beginning of the experiment and afterward on weekly basis, using a mettle 120110 top-loading balance.

Proximate analyses of experimental diet and fish samples before and after the experiment were

carried out using A.O.A.C. (1990) method. The metabolizable energy of each diet was estimated from the metabolizable energy of the ingredients in the gross composition of each diet according to (Aduka 1993).

Diet performance was evaluated on experimental fish according to Olivera *et al* (1990) as follows:

$$\text{Weight gain} = \text{Final body wt} - \text{initial body wt}$$

$$\text{Specific growth rate (SGR \% / day)} = \frac{(\log_e \text{ final body wt} - \log_e \text{ initial body wt}) \times 100}{\text{Time (days)}}$$

$$\text{Feed conversion ratio (FCR)} = \frac{\text{Dry wt. of feed fed (g)}}{\text{Fish weight gain}}$$

$$\text{Protein efficiency ratio (PER)} = \frac{\text{Fish weight gain (g)}}{\text{Protein fed}}$$

$$\text{Apparent net protein utilization} = \frac{N_b - N_a \times 100}{N_i}$$

Where N_a = Body nitrogen at beginning of test.

N_b = Body nitrogen at the end of test.

N_i = Amount of nitrogen ingested.

Percentage Survival =

$$\frac{\text{Initial number of fish stocked} - \text{Mortality} \times 100}{\text{Initial number of fish stocked}}$$

Statistical comparison of growth performance, nutrient utilization and survival values were made by using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and correlation analysis system (SAS 1988).

Results

The water quality parameters monitored during the experimental period were not significantly different ($P < 0.05$), the mean values obtained are as followed: temperature, $26.30 \pm 0.20^\circ\text{C}$; dissolved oxygen, $6.40 \pm 0.12\text{mg/l}$; and pH, 7.30 ± 0.07 . The nutrient and energy composition of the test diets are shown in Table 1. Crude protein ranged from 36.42% to 42.12% while the calculated metabolizable energy ranged from 2773.72 to 3206.50 Kcal ME/Kg. Fat content increased as the energy level increased from 7.32% in diet 1 to 9.92% in diet 7. Moisture ranged from 6.72% in diet 9 to 8.83% in diet 5, while nitrogen free extract (NFE) decreased as energy and protein increased.

Performance of catfish fry as affected by dietary protein and energy levels

The carcass analysis of the tissue of experimental fish is as shown in Table 2. Crude protein increased at each energy level as energy increased, with the peak at diet 6 (3000 Kcal ME/Kg and 42% protein level), which was also significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than the lowest value of 62.24% in diet 1. Fat also increased significantly ($P<0.05$) as protein and energy increased with the highest (6.87%) in diet 8 and lowest in diet 1. Table 3 shows the values of the growth, nutrient utilization and percentage survival of the brackish water catfish *C. walkeri* fed experimental diets for 8 weeks. Weight gain

Productive protein value displayed consistently higher values in diets with 42% protein with the peak at diet 6 being significantly higher ($P<0.05$). Survival also maintained in interplay between the protein and calorie contents of the diets. Lowest percentage survival of 53.34% was recorded in diet 1 while the highest of 71.67% was obtained in diet 7.

Discussion

The trend observed in this work showed that fish fed diets with 42% crude protein and 3000KcalME/Kg calorie gave the best performance. This is similar to the results of workers like Siddiqui *et al.* (1988) who observed best performance in *Oreochromis niloticus* fry on 40% dietary protein and 2913 Kcal ME/Kg while Reis *et al.* (1989) observed the best feed conversion ratio and specific growth rate in channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* on 39% dietary protein and 3050Kcal ME/Kg while Khan *et al.* (1993) reported higher percentage weight gain in Malaysian fresh water catfish *Mystus nemurus* on 42% crude protein and 5600Kcal gross energy/Kg. The results observed in this work, whereby growth performance and nutrient utilization were closely related to protein and energy levels of feed, could be as a result of interplay between protein and energy. Hence, in formulation of feed for fish, protein should not be considered in isolation of energy. This observation is similar to that of Prather and Lovell (1977), who reported optimum growth in *Ictalurus punctatus* on 29% protein when total energy was 2200 Kcal ME/Kg, and increasing

was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) in diet 6 than in other diets while the lowest value of 0.46g was observed in diet 7. Specific growth rate was directly proportional to increase in protein level at each calorie level. The highest value of 2.45% per day was obtained in diet 6 and significantly different ($P<0.05$) from the value of 2.11% in diet 1. Feed conversion ratio also increased as protein increased at each calorie level. The lowest value being 2.13 in diet 6, which was significantly lower ($P<0.05$) than 2.77 observed in diet 7.

the feed energy to 2800Kcal/Kg permitted the fish to use 42% protein food and attained considerably better growth.

The lower growth performance and nutrient utilization in fish fed diets with higher calorie value (3200Kcal ME/Kg) in this study might have resulted from lower feed consumption. Lovell, (1989), noted that a diet containing excess energy can restrict food consumption and thus prevent the intake of necessary amount of protein and other nutrients for maximum growth. Sheng and He, (1994) observed highest weight gain, feed conversion ratio and specific growth rate in bream (*Megalobrama strikovi* Dyboirski) fingerlings on gross energy of 3790Kcal/Kg feed. These, however decreased as gross energy increased to 3810 Kcal/Kg.

The high survival recorded in diet 6 showed that the diet was well tolerated by *C. walkeri* fry. This is similar to the observation of Siddiqui *et al.* (1988) who reported the highest growth and survival of *O. niloticus* fry on 40% crude protein and 2913Kcal ME/Kg diet. Likewise, Alavia and Lin (1988) reported the highest survival in milk fish (*Chanos chanos*) reared on 41% protein. While Carl *et al.* (1995) reported best growth and survival of sunshine bass (*Morone chrysops* X *M. saxatilis*) on 40% protein and 4000 Kcal/Kg gross energy in diet.

Conclusively, it is evident from the results of this investigation that brackish water catfish (*C. walkeri*) fry, grow, survive and utilize better 42% dietary crude protein and 3000 Kcal ME/Kg energy.

Obasa

Table 1: Percentage composition of experimental diets fed *C. walkeri* for 56 rearing days

Ingredients	M.E. ¹ . (2800kcal)			M.E. (3000kcal)			M.E. (3200kcal)		
	36%CP DIET 1	39%CP DIET 2	42%CP DIET 3	36%CP DIET 4	39%CP DIET 5	42%CP DIET 6	36%CP DIET 7	39%CP DIET 8	42%CP DIET 9
Yellow Maize	35.00	32.00	18.00	29.14	25.40	16.09	25.15	23.15	20.50
Groundnut Cok	24.58	35.09	45.47	24.53	35.09	42.56	28.50	30.50	25.57
Fish Meal	17.18	12.52	15.29	13.82	17.44	17.02	17.50	19.67	20.05
Blood Meal	8.19	10.04	6.39	6.40	6.72	6.51	6.50	7.83	15.03
Brew. Waste	6.20	2.50	6.00	4.00	3.00	6.00	6.50	3.50	2.00
Palm Oil	5.00	4.00	5.00	8.25	8.00	8.00	12.00	11.50	11.00
Bone Meal	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50
Vit. Premix	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Oyster Shell	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Calculated Metabolizable enrgy/Kg	2796.12	2773.72	2807.57	2991.49	2996.02	2978.99	3195.75	3197.65	206.50
Analysed: Protein(%)	36.52	39.48	42.35	36.19	38.76	41.94	36.21	39.14	42.12
Moisture (%)	7.81	7.89	7.47	7.92	8.83	6.84	8.06	7.41	6.72
Fat (%)	7.32	7.84	8.11	8.54	8.74	9.15	9.92	9.35	9.29
Crude Fibre(%)	5.49	5.57	4.61	4.71	5.02	4.03	4.76	4.98	4.12
Ash (%)	11.84	11.61	10.44	12.43	10.69	10.39	11.61	10.44	11.13
Nitrogen Free Extract (%)	31.02	27.71	27.02	30.21	28.27	27.65	29.64	28.68	26.52

RADAR VIT. PREMIX supplies per 100g diet. Palmitate (A) 1000IU; Cholecalciferol (D3) 1000 IU; α -tocopherol acetate (E) 1.1mg; menadione (K) 0.2mg; Thiamine (B1) 0.63mg; Riboflavin (B2) 0.5mg; Pantothenic (B6) 0.15mg; Cyanocobalamin B12, 0.001mg; Nicotinic acid 3.0mg; Folic . 0.01mg; Choline, 31.3mg; ascorbic acid C, 2.5mg; Fe 0.05mg; Cu, 0.25mg Mn, 6.0mg; Sa, 5.0mg; S,0.02mg.

Performance of carfish fry as affected by dietary protein and energy levels

Table 2: Proximate composition (%) of experimental fish (brackish water carfish (*C. walberti*) fry) before and after feeding trial for 56 days

Para- meters (%)	Before Trial	M.E. 2800Kcal/Kg			M.E. 3000Kcal/Kg			M.E. 3200Kcal/Kg			SEM
		PC 1	PC 2	PC 3	PC 4	PC 5	PC 6	PC 7	PC 8	PC 9	
CP	59.05d	62.24c	62.43b	62.68b	62.29c	62.70a	62.90a	62.16c	62.68b	62.83a	1.13
Moisture	3.51c	5.62b	6.32a	6.30a	5.73b	5.85ab	6.15a	5.76b	5.63b	6.36a	0.82
Fat	5.32b	6.25b	6.52b	6.78a	6.59b	6.61a	6.61a	6.41b	6.86a	6.79a	0.44

SEM = Standard error of the mean. Values without common alphabet in horizontal rows are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

CP = Crude protein

Table 3: Growth performance, nutrient utilization and percentage survival of the brackish water carfish (*C. walberti*) fry fed experimental diet for 56 days

Parameters	M.E. 2800 Kcal/Kg			M.E. 3000 Kcal/Kg			M.E. 3200 Kcal/Kg			S.E.M.
	36% CP Diet 1	39% CP Diet 2	42% CP Diet 3	36% CP Diet 4	39% CP Diet 5	42% CP Diet 6	36% CP Diet 7	39% CP Diet 8	42% CP Diet 9	
Initial wt. (g)	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0
Final wt. (g)	0.61	0.72	0.74	0.66	0.68	0.78	0.60	0.60	0.74	0.72
Weight gain(g)	0.47 ^d	0.58 ^c	0.60 ^c	0.52 ^c	0.54 ^c	0.64 ^c	0.46 ^c	0.60 ^c	0.60 ^c	0.58 ^b
SGR (%/day)	2.11 ^a	2.26 ^d	2.38 ^b	2.22 ^c	2.33 ^c	2.45 ^c	2.08 ^c	2.36 ^c	2.34 ^b	2.34 ^b
FCR	2.66 ^b	2.45 ^c	2.23 ^d	2.46 ^c	2.26 ^c	2.13 ^c	2.77 ^d	2.39 ^d	2.39 ^d	2.29 ^d
PER	1.05 ^d	1.06 ^c	1.07 ^{bc}	1.08 ^{abc}	1.13 ^a	1.12 ^a	1.00 ^d	1.07 ^{bc}	1.04 ^d	1.04 ^d
PPV	70.91 ^a	64.33 ^d	71.81 ^b	67.52 ^c	73.32 ^{ab}	78.40 ^a	67.62 ^{cd}	72.61 ^b	68.70 ^c	68.70 ^c
Survival %	53.34 ^d	65.00 ^{abc}	63.34 ^{abc}	60.00 ^{cd}	63.34 ^{abc}	71.67 ^b	57.67 ^{cd}	65.00 ^{abc}	68.34 ^{bc}	68.34 ^{bc}

CP = Crude Protein, FCR = Food Conversion Ratio, PER = Protein Efficiency Ratio, PPV = Productive Protein Value, SGR = Specific Growth

Rate

Note: Values with common alphabets in horizontal rows are not significant ($P > 0.05$).

References

- Aduku A.O.** 1993. Tropical Feedstuffs Analysis Table. Department of Animal Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.
- Alavia, V.R. and Lin, C.** 1988. Artificial diets for milk fish *Chanos chanos* fry reared in sea water. *Aquaculture* 71: 339-346.
- A.O.A.C.** 1990. Official method of analysis 15th edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists Washington D.C.
- Carl, D.W., Laura G.T., James, H.T., Petter V.W. and Robret D.H.** 1995. Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of sunshine bass (*Morone Chrysops X M. saxatilis*) reared in cages. *Aquaculture*, 131: 291-301.
- Davidson, J.J.** 1961. Experiment concerning the utilization of the metabolizable energy of the Growing chicks. A Rep. Government Research Station for Small Stock Husbandry, Merelbe, Belgium. Pp 143-144.
- De Silva, S. S. and Gunasekara R. M.** 1989. Effect of dietary protein level and amount of plant ingredient (Phaseolus) incorporated into diet on consumption, growth performance and carcass composition in *Oreochromis niloticus* fry. *Aquaculture* 80: 121-133.
- Faturoti E. O., Balogun A. M. and Ugwu L. U. C.** 1986. Nutrient utilization and growth of *Clarias lazera* fed different dietary protein levels. *Nig. Journal of Applied Fish and Hydrobiology*. 1: 41-45.
- Faturoti, E. O. and Obasa, S. O.** 1992. Growth response and yield of *Heterotis niloticus* on artificial diet. Proceeding of the 10th Annual Conf. of the Fish. Soc. of Nig. (FISON). Abeokuta, 16th-20th Nov. 1992. pp
- Khan M.J., Ang K.J., Ambak M.A. and Saad C.R.** 1993. Optimum protein requirement of Malaysian fresh water catfish, *Mystus nemurus*. *Aquaculture* 112:227-235.
- Lovel, R. T.** 1989. Nutrition and feeding of fish. Van Nostant Bk. New York. 256pp.
- Mackereth E.J.H.** 1963. Some methods of analysis for limnologists. Scient. Publ. Freshwater Biol. Ass. 21pp
- Obasa, S. O. and Faturoti E. O.** 2000. Growth and nutrient utilization of the brackish water catfish (*Chrysichthys walkeri*) fed varying dietary protein levels. *Journal of West African Fisheries*. Vol.8, 414-423.
- Olivera, N.M.A., Campos, G.S., Sabido, G.M. and Martinez, P.C.A.** 1990. The use of alfalfa Leaf protein concentrates as protein source in diets for tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*). *Aquaculture* 90:291-302.
- Prather, E.E. and Lovell, R.T.** 1977. Response of intensively fed channel catfish to diets containing various protein energy ratio. Proc. Annual Conf. Southeast Assoc. Game Fish Comm. 27:455-459.
- Reis, L.M., Retenbuch and Lovell, R.T.** 1989. Protein to energy ratio in production diets on growth, feed conversion and body composition of channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). *Aquaculture* 77:21-27.
- SAS (Statistical Analysis System)** 1988. A software package for solving statistical problem. VI 200 model SAS Institute Inc. Cary, N.C.
- Sheng, H. and He, X.** 1994. Effects of dietary animal and plant protein ratios and energy levels on growth and body composition of beam (*Megalobrama shrikovi* Dybowski). *Aquaculture*, 127: 189-196.

Performance of catfish fry as affected by dietary protein and energy levels

- Sidiqui, A.Q., Howlader, M.S. and Adam, A.A.** 1988. Effect of dietary protein levels on growth, feed conversion and protein utilization in fry and young tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* X *O. aureus*). *Aquaculture* 70:63-73.
- Xianghua, L.** 1988. Research on Fish Nutrition in China. In *Fish Research in Asia: Proceeding of the Second Asian Fish Nutrition Network Meeting*. Ed. De Silva S.S. 525pp.
- Smith, R.B.** 1989. Nutritional energetics in fish nutrition. Second edition (Ed. John E. Halver). Academy Press Inc. New York. 225pp.

(Received 23 April 2003; Accepted 27 November 2003)