



NSAP

**47th Annual
Conference
(JOS 2022)**

**CONFERENCE
PROCEEDINGS**

**THEME
SECURING ANIMAL
AGRICULTURE AMIDST
GLOBAL CHALLENGES**

EFFICACY OF MICROSATELLITE MARKER FOR GENETIC STUDIES ON GUINEA FOWL IN NIGERIA

Umar, U.A¹., Kabir, M²., Nwagu, B. I¹., Umar, H. A³. Yusuf, S.S⁴.

¹National Animal Production Research Institute, Shika-Zaria, Nigeria.

²Department of Animal Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

³Department of Animal Science, Bayero University Kano, Nigeria.

⁴Centre for Biotechnology Research and Training, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria

Corresponding Author: uualiyu9@gmail.com, 08098621234.

ABSTRACT

A study was carried out to evaluate efficacy of 31 sets of microsatellite marker developed for helmeted guinea fowl (*Numida meleagris galeata pallas*). A total of 200 randomly sampled indigenous guinea fowl strains namely; lavender (ash), gray breasted (white breasted), pearl, black and broiler guinea fowl comprising 40 per strain from north western Nigeria were used for the study. Two milliliters of blood was obtained from each bird and analyzed using multiplex PCR optimized from different conditions and adjustments. The bands on the electropherogramme were analysed and scored using the image lab™ and result was used to compute Polymorphism Information Content (PIC). The Result showed that the markers were lowly (PIC<0.25) polymorphic in Ash, moderate (0.50 > PIC > 0.25) in Pearl while highly (PIC>0.5) polymorphic in Broiler, Black and white breasted guinea fowl. This research shows that the markers can be used for molecular genetic studies and conservation programmes in Nigeria.

Key words: Efficacy, Microsatellite, Helmeted Guinea fowl, Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

Until 2005 the genotyping of the individual animals for marker assisted conservation scheme was carried out using the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) technique (Christopher *et al.*, 1997). Afterward, microsatellites have been applied because they are well dispersed in the genome and highly polymorphic (Cheng, *et al.*, 1998). Their application to characterize chicken has been used in many countries to study the genetic relationships among native breeds of poultry (Hillel *et al.*, 2003; Takahito *et al.*, 2011).

Microsatellites were described by (Tautz, 1989) as simple sequence-stretches with a high degree of hyper-variability, and are abundant and well distributed in eukaryotic genomes (Cheng & Crittenden, 1994). An important index of polymorphism for microsatellite DNA sites is to estimate the polymorphism of gene markers. The value of polymorphism information content (PIC) indicates the degree of polymorphism as reported by Umar *et al.* (2019). Kong *et al.* (2006) also reported PIC to be an important index of polymorphism of microsatellite DNA sites which were first used to estimate the polymorphism of gene markers in linkage analysis. A site is highly polymorphic when PIC>0.5, normally polymorphic when 0.50 > PIC > 0.25, and lowly polymorphic when PIC<0.25. The study was carried out to evaluate efficacy of 31 set of microsatellite marker developed for helmeted guinea fowl in Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 200 randomly sampled indigenous Guinea fowl strains collected from some parts of North Western States of Nigeria. The strains considered were Lavender (Ash), Gray breasted (white breasted), Pearl, Black and Broiler Guinea fowl y. For each strain, a maximum of forty (40) birds were sampled and 2ml blood was collected from the wing vein of each bird and taken to centre for Biotechnology Research and training of ABU Zaria. Thirty-one (31) sets of primers (Table1) included in the lists of recommended primers for guinea fowl suggested by Botchway *et al.* (2013), fowl gDNA was isolated from blood using a Thermo Scientific® kit according to the procedures described by (Sambrook, *et al.*, 1998). The purified gDNA was checked by running it on a 1.0 % Agarose gel stained with G-green.



NSAP

47th Annual Conference
(JOS 2022)

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

THEME
SECURING ANIMAL AGRICULTURE AMIDST GLOBAL CHALLENGES

A multiplex PCR was done by a PX2 Thermo hybrid thermal cycler with 5ng/μL of gDNA as a template in 25μL PCR reaction performed using 9.0μL of Taq 2x Master Mix (Tqman of Thermo fisher scientific™) which includes 0.4mM dNTPs, 50U/ml Taq polymerase, 1.5mM MgCl₂, 1.5μL Standard Taq Reaction Buffer and 8μL of primers. The PCR amplification was done using an initial Denaturation at 96°C (4 min), Annealing at 53°C (45 sec), and Extension at 72°C (1.5 min) for 35 cycles according to procedure of (Sanger *et al.*, 1977). PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis on Bio-Rad gel electrophoresis unit on 1.5% Agarose gel prepared in 0.5 × Tris borate EDTA (TBE) buffer. Ethidium bromide (2 μL / 200 ml gel) was added as an illumination buffer and 100 bp (10 μL) ladder by Gei Nei, Meark was used as a size standard. The running buffer comprised of 0.5 X TBE. PCR product (25 μL) was loaded in each well, while the Gel was run at 70 mA Current for 45m to 1 h depending on the voltage for minimum 10 cm distance (3/4 gel slab).

After electrophoresis the product was visualized and scored by Molecular Imager® Gel Doc™ XR+ system with image Lab™ Software of BIO-RAD (Jean-Louis, 2000). The data generated was used to estimate PIC determined using excel macros.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 showed the Microsatellite markers and their Polymorphism Information Content (PIC) from the five (5) Guinea fowl population studied. The value of Polymorphism Information Content (PIC) ranged from 0.650 to 0.960 in Pearl guinea fowl with mean of 0.269 while Black showed 0.785 to 1.000 with a mean of 0.642. Broiler guinea fowl presented a PIC range of 0.985 to 1.000 with mean of 0.642 as well, and then Ash guinea fowl expressed 0.857 to 0.980 with mean value of 0.207, finally white breasted guinea fowl revealed a value of range of 0.929 to 0.993 with a mean PIC value of 0.591.

An important index of polymorphism of microsatellite DNA sites were first used to estimate the polymorphism of gene markers in linkage analysis.

From the result in this study the markers were lowly (PIC<0.25) polymorphic in Ash, moderate (0.50 > PIC > 0.25) in Pearl while highly (PIC>0.5) polymorphic in Broiler, Black and white breasted guinea fowl, indicated a moderate to high gene diversity among the studied markers which is a good indication of high polymorphism (Chang *et al.*, 2007) and they can be used for molecular genetic studies, similar result was also reported by (Liu, *et al.*, 2006) while Kong *et al.* (2006) assessed the genetic variation and established the relationship amongst breeds and strains using 15 chicken specific microsatellite markers, and founded that PIC ranged from 0.562 to 0.872, likewise Chang *et al.* (2007) studied genetic diversity of quail breeds in China based on microsatellite markers, and indicated the highest PIC was 0.573

CONCLUSION

. It can be concluded that the result revealed that the markers were lowly (PIC<0.25) polymorphic in Ash, moderate (0.50 > PIC > 0.25) in Pearl while highly (PIC>0.5) polymorphic in Broiler, Black and white breasted guinea fowl, indicated a moderate to high gene diversity among the studied markers which is a good indication of high polymorphism.

REFERENCES

- Chang, G. B., Chang, H., Liu, X. P., Zhao, W. M., Ji, D. J., Mao, Y. J., ... Shi, X. K. (2007). Genetic Diversity of Wild Quail in China Ascertained with Microsatellite DNA Markers, 20(12), 1783–1790.
- Cheng, H. H., Burt, D. W., & Dodgson, J. B. (1998). Recent advances in poultry genome mapping. In *Proceeding Symposium Series 1 of the 8th World Conference on Animal Production* (pp. 168–178). Seoul, Korea: Seoul National University.
- Cheng, H. H., & Crittenden, L. B. (1994). Microsatellite markers for genetic mapping in the chicken. *Poultry Science*, 73, 539–546.
- Christopher, D., Pierre, C. and Claude, C. (1997). Impact of livestock and fisheries on food availability



and demand in 2020. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 9(5), 147–1475.

Hillel, J., Groenen, M. A. ., Tixier Boichard, M., Korol, A. B., David, L., Kirzhner, V. M., ... Weigend, S. (2003). Biodiversity of 52 chicken populations assessed by microsatellite typing of DNA pools. *Genetics Selection Evolution*, 35, 533–557.

Jean-Louis, V. (2000). Electrophoresis of DNA and other polyelectrolytes: Physical mechanisms. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 72, 813–872. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.72.813>.

Liu, G. Q., Jiang, X. P., Wang, J. Y., & Wang, Z. Y. (2006). Correlations between heterozygosity at microsatellite loci, mean d2 and body weight in a Chinese native chicken. *Asian- Australian Journal of Animal Science*, 19(12), 1671–1678.

Raymond, M., & Rousset, F. (1955). Testing of heterozygote in a population. *Genetics*, 200(9), 14–19.

Sanger, F., Niclen, S., & Coulson, A. R. (1977). DNA Sequencing with chain terminator inhibitors. *Proceeding of National Academy of Science USA*, 74, 5463–5467.

Takahito, O. K., Matsubara, M. T., Masayuki, Furuhashi Masateru, T., & Kawai, T. (2011). *Single-Molecule Electrical Random Resequencing of DNA and RNA*. Nature. 8-1 Mihogaoka, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan. <https://doi.org/2 : 501 | DOI: 10.1038/srep00501>

Tautz, D. (1989). Hypervariability of simple sequences as a general source for polymorphic DNA markers. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 17, 6463–6471.

Umar, U. A., Kabir, M., Nwagu, B. I., Umar, H. A., Sulaiman, A., & Abdulrahman, M. (2019). Genetic finger print of helmeted guinea fowl (*Numida Meleagris Galeata Pallas*) Using Microsatellite Marker In Nigeria. *Journal of Animal Production Research*, 31(2), 01–14. Retrieved from journals.napri.gov.ng

Table 1. Guinea fowl primers (directly labeled) for genetic diversity assessment

| LOCUS | ACCESSION NUMBER | PRIMER SEQUENCE | NUMBER OF BASES | SIZE RANGE (bp) |
|---------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Nmg01_F | AB819290 | CATCCAATACCCTGAACCTA | 20 | 192-196 |
| Nmg01_R | | TTAAACCCACAAGACCATTCC | 20 | |
| Nmg02_F | AB819291 | TGAACACGGGCTTAGATAGT | 20 | 123-213 |
| Nmg02_R | | GCTTTAGATGGCAATAGTGG | 20 | |
| Nmg03_F | AB819292 | GTCTTCTGACTTTTGGAAAT | 22 | 164-168 |
| Nmg03_R | | TACCCACACTGGTACTCTCC | 20 | |
| Nmg04_F | AB819293 | GCAC TAATAGTAGAGTACGCAGAA | 24 | 94-110 |
| Nmg04_R | | TGCTAACTCCAAATGACACA | 20 | |
| Nmg05_F | AB819294 | TGTACATGGTGCGTGTAT | 20 | 116-152 |
| Nmg05_R | | CGTTTTGTCCGTACTCAAC | 20 | |
| Nmg06_F | AB819295 | TGCAAAATCATCTTTTCCTT | 20 | 164-172 |
| Nmg06_R | | TCTCTGACTTATACCAGTTGA | 22 | |
| Nmg07_F | AB819296 | TGAGAGTGAATACTGCAA | 20 | 169-172 |
| Nmg07_R | | GATCTGTTAGGGCTGCTAGA | 20 | |
| Nmg08_F | AB819297 | GATGGCTATTGGGAAATACA | 20 | 206-214 |
| Nmg08_R | | CTGGCTTACATATCCTTCCA | 20 | |
| Nmg09_F | AB819298 | GTCTCCGAGATGTGGTTT | 19 | 142-147 |
| Nmg09_R | | AATCTTTCGCCTCTTACACA | 19 | |
| Nmg10_F | AB819299 | TCTTGTTCCAGTGTGCATCA | 19 | 108-116 |
| Nmg10_R | | ATGCCTCTGCAAATTAGTGT | 20 | |
| Nmg11_F | AB819300 | AAGTTTTCAGCAAATCCAG | 20 | 242-246 |
| Nmg11_R | | CACATACAGATCATGGGACA | 20 | |
| Nmg12_F | AB819301 | CAACTAAGTTCCTTGATTCTCA | 23 | 145-210 |
| Nmg12_R | | TGCAGAGTTTCTCTTTGAC | 21 | |
| Nmg13_F | AB819302 | AACAAAGGATGTTTTGTGCT | 21 | 214-233 |
| Nmg13_R | | TAAACCAATTCCAGCATT | 20 | |
| Nmg14_F | AB819303 | GGCTGTGTGAAAGGAGAGTA | 22 | 149-163 |
| Nmg14_R | | GCCAAATGCCTAACTGTAT | 20 | |
| Nmg15_F | AB819304 | TCTTGTTCTCCATCAGCTCT | 20 | 118-120 |
| Nmg15_R | | CACAAAACACCTGCTCACTA | 20 | |
| Nmg16_F | AB819306 | CAACATTTGTCTGGTGTGAC | 20 | 252-257 |
| Nmg16_R | | AGTCAAATGGTGTGGATGT | 20 | |
| Nmg17_F | AB819307 | TCTTCCTCAGAGGTACCAA | 20 | 223-232 |
| Nmg17_R | | TGAAGACCATAGAAGCCTGT | 20 | |
| Nmg18_F | AB819308 | ACTTCATGAGGTTCAAATGG | 20 | 264-266 |
| Nmg18_R | | TGGAATCTAGCTTGTGGTT | 20 | |



NSAP

47th Annual Conference (JOS 2022)

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

THEME
SECURING ANIMAL AGRICULTURE AMIDST GLOBAL CHALLENGES

| | | | | |
|---------|----------|------------------------|----|---------|
| Nmg19_F | AB819309 | TCTGAAGTATCTGCCCTGAG | 20 | 135-139 |
| Nmg19_R | | TTATCAAGTGAGCGATCAGA | 20 | |
| Nmg20_F | AB819310 | ATTCTCTGGAATGGGAATTT | 20 | 200-204 |
| Nmg20_R | | ATAGTGGCATGGTTCTCTTC | 20 | |
| Nmg21_F | AB819311 | TGCTAAATTATGTGCAGCAG | 20 | 164-173 |
| Nmg21_R | | CCAACCTAAGCCATACTAGG | 20 | |
| Nmg22_F | AB819312 | TTCCAGCTTGAAAACCTGACT | 20 | 203-234 |
| Nmg22_R | | CACAGACACAGACCATGAG | 20 | |
| Nmg23_F | AB819313 | AACCTGCAGAAACACATTTT | 20 | 230-236 |
| Nmg23_R | | CTGCAATACTTCATTTGTGG | 20 | |
| Nmg24_F | AB819314 | TCTCTCCTGACTTCCAAAAA | 20 | 226-236 |
| Nmg24_R | | AGGCTTGAACCTCATGGACTA | 20 | |
| Nmg25_F | AB819315 | CACAAGTGTGAAGCAATGTC | 20 | 207-211 |
| Nmg25_R | | ACATCTATGGCCTCAGACAC | 20 | |
| Nmg26_F | AB819316 | AAACAGAAGGTGAATGCTGT | 20 | 261-286 |
| Nmg26_R | | GTAGCTGTGCACCTCACC | 18 | |
| Nmg27_F | AB819317 | GGCCTATCCTCAAATAGTCTCC | 23 | 236-252 |
| Nmg27_R | | TCAAAGCCTGTAAGAAGTGCTC | 22 | |
| Nmg28_F | AB819318 | GAATCCAGTGACACACCTTT | 20 | 209-235 |
| Nmg28_R | | TATTTAATGAGGGCTGCCTT | 20 | |
| Nmg29_F | AB819319 | CCTTCTCCTCGTTTAAT | 20 | 241-278 |
| Nmg29_R | | TCCTTTTGCTAGAACACACA | 20 | |
| Nmg30_F | AB819320 | TGAGATCAGGTGAAAAACT | 20 | 203-209 |
| Nmg30_R | | TACATTTCTGCTCTCCAGT | 20 | |
| Nmg31_F | AB819321 | CCTTGTTCCAGAGCTGTAGT | 20 | 175-181 |
| Nmg31_R | | GGAAGGACAAATAAAGCAAA | 20 | |

F: forward sequence (5'→3'), R: reverse sequence (3'→5'), bp: Base pair

Table 3. Microsatellite markers, polymorphism information content (PIC) Pearl guinea fowl

| PIC | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|---------|-------|----------------|
| LOCUS | Pearl | Black | Broiler | Ash | White Breasted |
| AB819290 | 0.96 | 0.785 | 0.985 | - | - |
| AB819291 | 0.96 | 0.785 | 0.985 | 0.857 | 0.929 |
| AB819292 | - | - | - | - | - |
| AB819293 | 0.96 | 0.806 | 0.993 | 0.878 | 0.943 |
| AB819294 | - | 0.857 | 0.994 | - | 0.953 |
| AB819295 | - | 0.857 | - | - | - |
| AB819296 | - | 0.857 | - | - | - |
| AB819297 | 0.96 | 0.857 | - | 0.898 | 0.955 |
| AB819298 | - | 0.860 | 0.994 | - | 0.955 |
| AB819299 | - | 0.860 | - | 0.918 | 0.956 |
| AB819300 | - | 0.860 | 0.995 | - | 0.958 |
| AB819301 | 0.96 | 0.860 | 0.995 | 0.939 | 0.959 |
| AB819302 | - | 0.992 | 0.996 | - | 0.959 |
| AB819303 | - | 0.992 | 0.997 | - | 0.966 |
| AB819304 | - | - | - | - | - |
| AB819306 | - | 0.992 | 0.997 | - | 0.967 |
| AB819307 | - | 0.992 | 0.997 | - | 0.968 |
| AB819308 | - | - | - | - | - |
| AB819309 | - | - | - | - | 0.970 |
| AB819310 | - | - | 0.998 | - | 0.969 |
| AB819311 | - | 0.992 | - | - | - |
| AB819312 | 0.65 | 0.992 | 0.998 | - | 0.974 |
| AB819313 | - | 0.994 | 0.998 | - | - |
| AB819314 | - | 0.994 | 0.998 | - | 0.982 |
| AB819315 | 0.96 | 0.994 | - | 0.959 | - |
| AB819316 | 0.96 | 0.996 | - | - | - |
| AB819317 | - | - | 0.999 | - | 0.984 |



NSAP

**47th Annual
Conference
(JOS 2022)**

**CONFERENCE
PROCEEDINGS**

THEME
SECURING ANIMAL
AGRICULTURE AMIDST
GLOBAL CHALLENGES

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| AB819318 | 0.96 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 0.980 | 0.986 |
| AB819319 | - | 1 | 0.999 | - | 0.993 |
| AB819320 | - | - | 1.000 | - | - |
| AB819321 | - | 1 | 1.000 | - | - |
| Mean PIC | 0.269 | 0.715 | 0.642 | 0.207 | 0.591 |

Polymorphism Information Content: (PIC)