

## Effect of some medicinal herbs and spices from Enugu and Kaduna States, Nigeria, on *in-vitro* rumen fermentation and methanogenesis

\*Iyere, M. A<sup>1</sup>, \*\*Bamikole, M. A<sup>1</sup>, Ikhatua U. J<sup>1</sup>, Babayemi, O. J.<sup>2</sup> and Egigba, G. S<sup>1</sup>.



<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria and

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

Corresponding authors: \*\*bankymao@uniben.edu; \*mabuyere@gmail.com

### Abstract

A study was carried out to determine the effect of medicinal plants from Enugu (En) and Kaduna (Kd) States on *in-vitro* rumen fermentation and methanogenesis. Twelve medicinal plants comprising herbs and spices were collected from En and Kd States, respectively. The chemical composition and cell wall component (NDF, ADF and hemicellulose) of the plants were determined. The samples were evaluated in an *in-vitro* study at an inclusion level of 10 mg/ 200 mg substrate. The experiment was laid out in a factorial arrangement and executed as a randomized complete block design with incubation done in batches. At the completion of the 24-hour incubation period, total gas volume (GV), dry matter digestibility (DMD), fermentation efficiency (FE) and CH<sub>4</sub> were determined while organic matter digestibility (OMD), short chain fatty acids (SCFA) and metabolisable energy (ME) were estimated from established equations. The chemical composition indicated range values of 72.82 (*Allium sativum*, En) - 95.73% (*Aloe barbadense*, Kd) for DM, 7.01 (*Aframomum melegueta*, En) - 30.59% (*Allium sativum*, En) for CP, 8.94 (*Allium sativum*, Kd) - 81.41% (*Cymbopogon citratus*, En) for NDF, 3.58 (*Allium sativum*, En) - 63.64% (*Xylopia aethiopica*, En) for ADF, 1.79 (*Allium cepa*, Kd) - 48.77 % (*Aframomum melegueta*, En) for hemicellulose, 2.64 (*Aframomum melegueta*, En) - 22.51% (*Ageratum conyzoides*, Kd) and 77.49 (*Ageratum conyzoides*, Kd) - 97.36% (*Aframomum melegueta*, En) for ash and OM, respectively. The effect of location on chemical composition varied among the plant types with significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) levels of CP in most of the Kd plants. The range for GV, DMD, CH<sub>4</sub> percentage, CH<sub>4</sub> reduction, FE, OMD, SCFA and ME were 85.00 (*Ageratum conyzoides*, Kd) - 149.49 mL/g DM (*Aloe barbadense*, Kd), 525.50 (*Sida acuta*, Kd) - 764.50 g/Kg DM (*Aframomum melegueta*, En), 45.75 (*Allium sativum*, En) - 72.59% (*Aframomum melegueta*, Kd), 2.36 (*Allium cepa*, Kd) - 32.86% (*Zingiber officinale*, Kd), 4.23 (*Sida acuta*, Kd) - 8.12 (*Ageratum conyzoides*, Kd), 45.83 (*Ageratum conyzoides*, Kd) - 57.18% (*Cymbopogon citratus*, Enugu; *Aloe barbadense*, Kd), 0.35 (*Ageratum conyzoides*, Kd) - 0.66 mmol/200 mg DM (*Cymbopogon citratus*, En) and 5.18 (*Ageratum conyzoides*, Kd) - 6.93 MJ/Kg DM (*Cymbopogon citratus*, En; *Aloe barbadense*, Kd) respectively. *Allium sativum* bulbs, *Zingiber officinale* rhizomes, *Cymbopogon citratus* and *Sida acuta* (both locations) reduced CH<sub>4</sub> production without adversely affecting the desired fermentation parameters indicating that the medicinal plants have methane reducing potential.

**Keywords:** Medicinal herbs, spices, *in-vitro*, rumen fermentation and methanogenesis

### Introduction

Methane is one of the greenhouse gases linked to climate change, which is adversely affecting agricultural production as well as human lives due to the occurrence of natural disasters across the planet. The Intergovernmental panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2007) predicts that by 2010

the temperature of the earth's atmosphere may have risen by 1.8 – 3.9° C. FAO (2006) reports that a less than 1° C increase in the earth's atmospheric temperature over the last century has resulted in floods, forest fires and storms across the globe. Methane accounts for about 14% of human induced GHG production (Forster *et al.*, 2007) and a

## ***Effect of some medicinal herbs and spices from Enugu and Kaduna States, Nigeria***

6-10% loss of gross energy intake via rumen fermentation (Eckard *et al.*, 2010). In Nigeria it is estimated that ruminant livestock have a methane output of 59 kg/animal/year (FAO, 2010). With global population increasing at an annual rate of 1.3% (UNDESA, 2013) there is expected to be a corresponding increase in the level of agricultural production to meet their nutritional requirements. Consequently, livestock numbers are also expected to increase thus resulting in higher methane output from ruminant livestock except proactive steps are taken to increase the efficiency of rumen fermentation by reducing losses to methane production. Although several options have been explored over the years to address this emerging challenge there is now a preference for strategies that are safe for humans and livestock. Indigenous medicinal plants and spices which are often underutilized have prospects in this regard due to their content of bioactive compounds such as essential oils, tannins, saponins, flavonoids and alkaloids (Adu *et al.*, 2013; Belewu *et al.*, 2009; Doherty *et al.*, 2010). These bioactive compounds have been reported to have antimicrobial activity (Belewu *et al.*, 2009; Garba and Okeniyi, 2012; Uzeh and Oguntosin, 2013). It is therefore pertinent to access the level to which these medicinal plants can reduce methane production in the rumen as well as influence other fermentation parameters. Thus this study was conducted to evaluate the effect of some herbs and spices from Enugu and Kaduna States of Nigeria on *in-vitro* methanogenesis and fermentation parameters.

### **Materials and methods**

#### ***Sample collection, identification and preparation***

Medicinal plants and spices in Enugu and Kaduna States were catalogued and thereafter collected using purposeful

sampling technique. Identification was done using ethnobotanical in literature. Local residents in both locations also assisted with the identification. The samples collected were classified as herbs and spices. The samples were air dried, milled and stored in air tight plastic containers prior to the determination of chemical composition and the *in-vitro* fermentation study.

#### ***Substrate preparation***

The substrate (CP = 11.80; OM = 83.59; NDF = 71.87; ADF = 34.82; CF = 19.92) comprised 30% legume hay (*Centrosema molle*), 30% grass hay (*Panicum maximum*) and 40% concentrate.

#### ***Sample/substrate mixture***

200 mg of the substrate with 10% inclusion of the medicinal plant and spices respectively was weighed into previously numbered and weighed incubation bags which were then sealed and inserted into numbered 100 mL plastic syringes. The 100 ml syringes were used in line with the protocol of Fievez *et al.* (2005).

#### ***Rumen liquor collection and buffer preparation***

Rumen liquor was collected from six West African dwarf goats at the University of Benin Teaching and Research farm. The goats were confined and fed concentrate alongside Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*) and served water *ad-libitum*. The liquor was collected early in the morning prior to feeding via stomach tube into a pre-warmed thermos flask. The liquor was strained through four layers of cheese cloth and mixed with the buffer solution in a ratio of 1:2. (Tilley and Terry, 1963; Menke *et al.*, 1979) This mixture (inoculum) was dispensed into beakers which were placed in a water bath maintained at 39° C and flushed with CO<sub>2</sub> to provide anaerobic conditions and keep the microorganisms alive.

#### ***In-vitro fermentation of samples***

30 mL of the inoculum was introduced into

each of the syringes containing the incubation bags. The syringes containing only inoculum served as the blank while those with bags containing only the substrate served as control. The volume of gas produced was read at six hour intervals for the 24 hour incubation period. Upon completion of the incubation period the syringes were prepared for methane determination. Methane production at the end of the incubation period was determined by injecting 4 mL of 40% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) into each incubation syringe with agitation. The volume of gas after the movement of plunger ceased was recorded as the methane produced. The incubation bags were removed from the syringes, washed under running tap water and thereafter oven dried to constant weight to determine the dry matter digestibility (DMD). Metabolisable energy (ME), organic matter digestibility (OMD%) and short chain fatty acid (SCFA) were estimated with established equations. The former were established with the equations of Menke and Steingass (1988) while the latter was with that formulated by Getachew *et al.* (1999).

#### **Chemical analysis**

Organic matter, crude protein, crude fiber and ash content of the substrate, spices and herbs were determined using the official procedure of AOAC (2000) while the Van Soest *et al.* (1991) method was used to determine the cell wall components i.e. acid detergent fiber (ADF), neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and hemicellulose.

#### **Data analysis**

Data collected were analyzed using ANOVA in a completely randomized design, following the procedure of SAS (2014). Separation of significant differences between the means was done using Duncan New Multiple Range Test of the SAS (2014) software.

## **Results**

### ***Chemical composition of *Panicum maximum* used for the study***

Table 1 shows the chemical composition of the *Panicum maximum* fed to the WAD goats used in this study prior to rumen liquor collection. The DM, CP, NDF, ADF and HEM were 29.05, 7.71, 59.00, 37.00 and 22.00 % respectively.

### ***Proximate composition of concentrate used for the study***

The DM, CP, CF, EE, ash and NFE respectively of the concentrate were 91.40, 15.82, 4.41, 7.51, 6.34 and 57.32 % as shown in Table 1.

### ***Chemical composition of herbs and spices used for the study***

The chemical composition of the herbs and spices in the present study is presented in Tables 2 and 3. The DM, CP, NDF, ADF, hemicellulose, ash and OM among the samples ranged from 72.82 (*Allium sativum*, En) - 95.73% (*Aloe barbadense*, Kd), 7.01 (*Aframomum melegueta*, En) - 30.59% (*Allium sativum*, En), 8.94 (*Allium sativum*, Kd) - 81.41% (*Cymbopogon citratus*, En), 3.58 (*Allium sativum*, En) - 63.64% (*Xylopiya aethiopica*, En), 1.79 (*Allium cepa*, Kd) - 48.77 % (*Aframomum melegueta*, En), 2.64 (*Aframomum melegueta*, En) - 22.51% (*Ageratum conyzoides*, Kd) and 77.49 (*Ageratum conyzoides*, Kd) - 97.36% (*Aframomum melegueta*, En) respectively.

### ***Effect of spices on in-vitro gas production and fermentation parameters***

The GV, OMD, SCFA, FE and ME values obtained for the spices in this study as presented in Table 4 were similar to those obtained for the control indicating that there was no significant effect of the spice treatments. There were varying responses in the DMD due to the spice treatments. There were no significant differences among the Enugu spices while for Kaduna spices *Aframomum melegueta* significantly increased the DMD. Although there was a

## Effect of some medicinal herbs and spices from Enugu and Kaduna States, Nigeria

significant increase in CH<sub>4</sub> production (7.99 %) by *Aframomum melegueta* (Kaduna), non-significant CH<sub>4</sub> reduction was observed in any of the spice treatments.

### Effect of herbs on in-vitro gas production and fermentation parameters

There were no significant differences

between the herbs for *in-vitro* gas production and other fermentation characteristics as shown in Table 5. All the herbs reduced CH<sub>4</sub> production to varied levels except *Ageratum conyzoides* (Enugu) and *Tridax procumbens* (Enugu).

**Table 1: Chemical composition (g/100 g DM) of *Panicum maximum* and concentrate fed to WAD goats used for the study**

Composition	<i>Panicum maximum</i>	Concentrate
Dry Matter	29.05	91.40
Crude Protein	7.71	15.82
Crude fibre	ND	4.41
Ether extract	5.00	7.51
Ash	9.00	6.34
Nitrogen free extract	ND <sup>1</sup>	57.32
Neutral detergent fibre	59.0	ND
Acid detergent fibre	37.0	ND
Hemicellulose	22.0	ND

ND<sup>1</sup> = Not Determined

## Discussion

The values for the chemical components of *Panicum maximum* are comparable with the range of 26.00 - 38.49, 5.20 -9.36, 40.30 – 70.54, 26.20 – 39.14 and 14.10 – 22.00 % for DM, CP, NDF, ADF and HEM respectively reported by several authors (Bamikole and Babayemi, 2004; Ajayi and Babayemi, 2008; Odedire and Babayemi, 2008; Babayemi, 2009). The CP content met the critical limit of 7% recommended by ARC (1980) for tropical livestock for proper functioning of the rumen below which there will be a decline in performance. Norton (1994) however suggested a minimum CP of 8% as the limit for optimal ruminal activity. The digestibility of the grass due to its NDF content is likely to be adversely affected as it has been reported (McDonald *et al.*, 1995; Gillespie, 1998) that NDF is inversely related to plant digestibility. The CP, CF, EE, Ash and NFE respectively of the concentrate fed in this study are within the range of 13.5-16.80, 3.40 -6.00, 6.60 -8.60, 4.80 – 6.40 and 56.50 – 62.40 % reported by Omajasola and Kayode (2015). The CP of the concentrate was higher than the minimum protein requirement of 10 – 12 % recommended by ARC (1985) for

ruminants. This indicates that the concentrate could aid in ameliorating dietary protein deficiency in a grass – concentrate mixture for ruminant livestock. The CP content for majority of the herbs in this study were within the range of 8.90–24.90 % reported for herbs (Ahamefule *et al.*, 2006; Sultan *et al.*, 2009; Agunbiade *et al.*, 2012; Midau *et al.*, 2015). However, the values reported for *Ageratum conyzoides* (Kaduna) were higher than what was reported (15.67 %) by Agunbiade *et al.* (2012). The values for *Sida acuta* and *Tridax procumbens* (Kaduna) were also higher than those reported (20.65 %, 17.80 %) by Ahamefule *et al.* (2006). The NDF content of the herbs except *Aloe barbadense* (Kaduna) and *Cymbopogon citratus* (Enugu) were within the range (34.50 - 75.00 %) reported (Ahamefule *et al.*, 2006) for herbs. The ADF values were comparable with what was reported (32.40–57.40 %) by Ahamefule *et al.* (2006) except for *Aloe barbadense* (Kaduna) and *Sida acuta*. Most of the herbs had hemicellulose values which were lower than what was reported in literature (Sultan *et al.*, 2009). The ash content was comparable to those reported by Ahamefule *et al.* (2006) and Midau *et al.* (2015).

Table 2: Chemical composition of medicinal herbs used for the study (% DM)

Variable	Location (L)	Herbs (H)						Significance			
		<i>Aloe barbadense</i>	<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	<i>Cymbopogon citratus</i>	<i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i>	<i>Sida acuta procumbens</i>	<i>Tridax procumbens</i>	SEM	H	L	H*L
DM	Enugu	86.17 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	82.19 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	90.18 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	80.46 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	83.27 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	84.56 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.91	***	***	+
	Kaduna	95.73 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	88.96 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	90.18 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	84.81 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	89.32 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	87.32 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.54			
	SEM	1.40	0.33	0.82	1.60	2.09	0.66				
CP	Enugu	15.04 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	20.74 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	7.41 <sup>f</sup> <sub>B</sub>	22.07 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	18.08 <sup>c</sup> <sub>B</sub>	10.51 <sup>e</sup> <sub>B</sub>	0.02	***	***	***
	Kaduna	9.03 <sup>f</sup> <sub>B</sub>	29.92 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	14.12 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	22.49 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	28.27 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	19.64 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.01			
	SEM	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.02				
NDF	Enugu	42.69 <sup>e</sup> <sub>A</sub>	57.30 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	81.41 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	43.74 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	63.41 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	50.80 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.72	***	***	***
	Kaduna	32.97 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	47.98 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>B</sub>	74.61 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	56.18 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	42.77 <sup>c</sup> <sub>B</sub>	50.03 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.46			
	SEM	2.68	0.59	2.31	1.01	3.05	0.78				
ADF	Enugu	36.06 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	41.37 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	58.51 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	32.80 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	20.33 <sup>f</sup> <sub>A</sub>	47.42 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.41	***	***	***
	Kaduna	28.43 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	30.22 <sup>c</sup> <sub>B</sub>	42.65 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	34.66 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	15.48 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	44.62 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.60			
	SEM	1.01	1.37	0.81	0.53	0.92	0.53				
HEM	Enugu	6.63 <sup>de</sup> <sub>A</sub>	15.93 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	22.90 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	10.94 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	43.08 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	3.38 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.73	***	+	***
	Kaduna	4.54 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	17.76 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	31.96 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	21.52 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	27.29 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>B</sub>	5.41 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.39			
	SEM	1.75	1.96	2.42	1.08	2.57	1.26				
ASH	Enugu	13.50 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	16.93 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	10.45 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	13.14 <sup>cd</sup> <sub>A</sub>	11.49 <sup>cd</sup> <sub>A</sub>	20.32 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.45	***	+	**
	Kaduna	10.57 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	22.51 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	11.60 <sup>cd</sup> <sub>A</sub>	14.79 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	11.06 <sup>cd</sup> <sub>A</sub>	17.59 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.61			
	SEM	0.80	0.39	0.79	1.11	1.20	1.05				
OM	Enugu	86.50 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	86.07 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	89.55 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	86.86 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	88.51 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	79.68 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.45	***	+	**
	Kaduna	89.43 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	77.49 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	88.40 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	85.21 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	88.94 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	82.41 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.61			
	SEM	0.80	0.39	0.79	1.11	1.20	1.05				

<sup>a,b</sup> Means of herbs with different superscripts across the rows within a specific location for each variable are significantly different (P < 0.05)

<sup>A,B</sup> Means of location with different subscripts along the columns within a specific variable for each herb are significantly different (P < 0.05)

\*\*= P < 0.01, \*\*\*= P < 0.001, + = Tended to be significant (P > 0.05 - 0.10)

DM= Dry matter, CP = Crude protein, NDF= Neutral detergent fiber, ADF= Acid detergent fiber, HEM= Hemicellulose, OM = Organic matter, SEM = Standard Error of the Mean



# Effect of some medicinal herbs and spices from Enugu and Kaduna States, Nigeria

**Table 3:** Chemical composition of spices used for the study (% DM)

<sup>a,b</sup> Means of spices with different superscripts across the parametric rows within a specific location for each variable are significantly different (P < 0.05)

Variable	Location (L)	Spices (S)							Significance		
		<i>Allium cepa</i>	<i>Aframomum melegueta</i>	<i>Allium sativum</i>	<i>Piper nigrum</i>	<i>Xylopiia aethiopica</i>	<i>Zingiber officinale</i>	SEM	S	L	S*L
DM	Enugu	77.28 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	89.38 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	72.82 <sup>d</sup> <sub>B</sub>	82.44 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	88.77 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	88.33 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.41	***	***	***
	Kaduna	77.75 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	87.74 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	82.06 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	89.32 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	91.93 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	88.70 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.48			
	SEM	0.45	0.38	0.92	0.34	1.22	0.90				
CP	Enugu	19.35 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	7.01 <sup>f</sup> <sub>B</sub>	30.59 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	12.42 <sup>c</sup> <sub>B</sub>	11.73 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	11.94 <sup>d</sup> <sub>B</sub>	0.02	***	***	***
	Kaduna	15.80 <sup>c</sup> <sub>B</sub>	12.67 <sup>e</sup> <sub>A</sub>	24.46 <sup>a</sup> <sub>B</sub>	19.04 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	10.76 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	12.79 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.02			
	SEM	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.03				
NDF	Enugu	10.56 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	67.40 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	13.94 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	28.88 <sup>c</sup> <sub>B</sub>	69.89 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	43.32 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.03	***	+	**
	Kaduna	9.94 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	69.77 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	8.94 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	53.80 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	61.27 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>B</sub>	43.01 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	2.10			
	SEM	1.01	2.51	1.48	1.33	0.43	6.15				
ADF	Enugu	5.28 <sup>d</sup> <sub>B</sub>	18.63 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	3.58 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	16.06 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>B</sub>	63.64 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	11.98 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.77	***	**	***
	Kaduna	8.15 <sup>d</sup> <sub>A</sub>	24.75 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	3.89 <sup>e</sup> <sub>A</sub>	41.10 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	50.80 <sup>a</sup> <sub>B</sub>	5.68 <sup>de</sup> <sub>B</sub>	0.56			
	SEM	0.00	1.57	0.39	1.58	1.70	0.37				
HEM	Enugu	5.28 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	48.77 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	10.26 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	12.82 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.25 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	31.34 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.52	***	+	+
	Kaduna	1.79 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	45.02 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.05 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	12.70 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	10.47 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	37.33 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	2.31			
	SEM	1.01	4.03	1.32	2.44	2.05	6.29				
ASH	Enugu	2.93 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	2.64 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	5.45 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	9.91 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	2.75 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	11.53 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.55	***	+	***
	Kaduna	4.62 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.80 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.18 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.43 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>B</sub>	7.78 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.10 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.37			
	SEM	1.21	0.57	0.57	0.31	0.56	1.20				
OM	Enugu	97.07 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	97.36 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	94.55 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	90.09 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	97.25 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	88.47 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.55	***	+	***
	Kaduna	95.38 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	93.20 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	93.82 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	93.57 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	92.22 <sup>b</sup> <sub>B</sub>	93.90 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.37			
	SEM	1.21	0.57	0.57	0.31	0.56	1.20				

<sup>a,b</sup> Means of location with different subscripts along the parametric columns within a specific variable for each spice are significantly different (P < 0.05) NS = Not significant, \*\*= P < 0.01, \*\*\*= P < 0.001, + = Tended to be significant (P > 0.05 - 0.10), DM= Dry matter, CP = Crude protein, NDF= Neutral detergent fiber, ADF= Acid detergent fiber, HEM= Hemicellulose, OM = Organic matter, SEM =Standard Error of the Mean

**Table 4: Effect of spices and location on *in-vitro* methanogenesis and fermentation parameters**

VAR	LOC. (L)	Spices (S)								Significance		
		ACE	AME	ASA	PNI	XAE	ZOF	CTR	SSEM	L	S	L*S
GV	EN	28.73 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	28.14 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	26.38 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	26.38 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	25.79 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	26.97 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	30.84 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.59	+	+	+
	KD	27.55 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	26.38 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	28.14 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	27.55 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	23.45 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	28.73 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	28.73 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	2.03			
	SEM	2.58	3.88	3.88	3.94	2.65	3.66	3.33				
DMDg	EN	682.75 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	667.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	606.75 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	641.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	569.75 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	618.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	635.17 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	22.87	+	+	+
	KD	602.75 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	764.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	702.25 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	529.50 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	578.75 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	639.50 <sup>abc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	614.00 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	19.62			
	SEM	21.92	69.95	25.64	48.54	33.01	23.70	39.77				
GVg	EN	143.63 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	140.70 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	131.90 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	131.90 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	128.97 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	134.83 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	152.42 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	7.95	+	+	+
	KD	137.77 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	131.90 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	140.70 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	137.77 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	117.25 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	143.63 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	143.63 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	10.62			
	SEM	12.89	19.42	19.42	19.69	13.25	18.31	16.63				
CH <sub>4</sub> g	EN	85.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	87.94 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	64.49 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	76.21 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	76.21 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	67.42 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	91.84 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.85	+	+	+
	KD	90.87 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	93.80 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	70.35 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	79.14 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	76.21 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	64.49 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	105.52 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.10			
	SEM	16.29	15.01	13.96	9.11	15.14	7.25	7.30				
CH <sub>4</sub> p	EN	56.39 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	62.01 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	45.75 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	58.93 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	56.84 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	52.45 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	61.96 <sup>a</sup> <sub>B</sub>	3.27	*	+	+
	KD	65.16 <sup>abc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	72.59 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	51.58 <sup>bc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	62.60 <sup>abc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	63.31 <sup>abc</sup> <sub>A</sub>	45.23 <sup>c</sup> <sub>A</sub>	76.29 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	3.00			
	SEM	6.94	5.92	4.96	8.11	7.25	4.58	3.32				
CH <sub>4</sub> r	EN	14.35 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.83 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	30.72 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	12.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	13.84 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	22.47 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.59	+	+	+
	KD	2.36 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	-7.99 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	23.78 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	8.42 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.54 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	32.86 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.49			
	SEM	13.01	10.88	8.66	10.75	13.76	6.19	0.00				
FE	EN	5.02 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.94 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.97 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.27 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.66 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.97 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.39 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.34	+	+	+
	KD	4.51 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.91 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.54 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.52 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.26 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.99 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.63 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.50			
	SEM	0.51	1.27	0.77	0.90	0.64	0.79	0.46				
OMD	EN	56.15 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	55.64 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	54.09 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	54.09 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	53.57 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	54.60 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	57.70 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.40	+	+	+
	KD	52.12 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	54.09 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	55.64 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	55.12 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	51.51 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	56.15 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	56.15 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.87			
	SEM	2.27	3.42	3.42	3.46	2.33	3.22	2.93				
SCFA	EN	0.63 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.61 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.57 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.57 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.56 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.58 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.67 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.04	+	+	+
	KD	0.60 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.57 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.61 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.60 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.63 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.63 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.05			
	SEM	0.19	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.08				
ME	EN	6.77 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.69 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.46 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.46 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.38 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.53 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	7.01 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.22	+	+	+
	KD	6.61 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.46 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.69 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.69 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.06 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.77 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.77 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.29			
	SEM	0.35	0.53	0.53	0.54	0.36	0.50	0.45				

<sup>a,b</sup> Means of spices with different superscripts across the parametric rows within specific locations are significantly different (P<0.05)

<sup>A,B</sup> Means of location with different subscripts along the parametric columns within individual spices are significantly different (P<0.05)

\* = P<0.05, + = Tended to be significant (P> 0.05 - 0.10)

ACE = *Allium cepa*, AME = *Aframomum melegueta*, ASA = *Allium sativum*, PNI = *Piper nigrum*, XAE = *Xylopi aethiopica*, ZOF = *Zingiber officinale*, CTR = Control, GV = Gas volume (mL/200mg DM), DMDg = Dry matter digestibility (g/Kg DM), GVg = Gas volume (mL/g DM), CH<sub>4</sub>g = Methane volume (mL/g DM), CH<sub>4</sub>p = Methane (%), CH<sub>4</sub>r = Methane reduction (%), FE = Fermentation efficiency, OMD = Organic matter digestibility (%), SCFA = Short chain fatty acid (mmol/200 mg DM), ME = Metabolisable energy (MJ/ Kg DM), SEM = Standard Error of the Mean, VAR = Variable, LOC = Location

*Allium sativum* was the only spice which had CP values which was higher than the range (7.4 - 22.30 %) reported in literature for spices (Mepba and Nnoka, 2008; Khan and Choudry, 2010; Udofia and Alozie, 2015). The NDF values for *Allium cepa*, *Allium sativum* and *Aframomum melegueta*, *Xylopi aethiopica* were lower and higher respectively than the range of

28.00 – 55.20 % reported by Khan and Chaudhry (2010). The ADF values of *Allium cepa*, *Allium cepa*, *Zingiber officinale* were lower than 19.9 – 53.7 % reported by Khan and Chaudhry (2010). The values for *Aframomum melegueta* and *Xylopi aethiopica* (Kaduna) were comparable with this range while the ADF value of *Xylopi aethiopica* (Enugu) was

## *Effect of some medicinal herbs and spices from Enugu and Kaduna States, Nigeria*

**Table 5: Effect of location and medicinal herbs on *in-vitro* gas production and fermentation characteristics**

VAR	LOC. (L)	Herbs (H)							SEM	Significance		
		ABA	ACO	CCI	EHE	SAC	TPR	CTR		H	L	H*L
GV	EN	21.69 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	17.59 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	29.90 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	29.31 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	21.69 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	21.69 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	30.48 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.67	+	+	+
	KD	29.90 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	17.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	25.21 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	23.45 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	26.38 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	28.14 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	28.73 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	2.18			
	SEM	4.90	3.70	2.33	4.40	2.86	2.94	3.33				
DMDg	EN	630.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	535.25 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	631.75 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	687.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	571.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	583.25 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	635.17 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	22.23	+	+	+
	KD	532.75 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	567.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	657.25 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	654.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	525.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	626.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	614.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	19.97			
	SEM	37.77	33.19	42.32	60.76	20.45	30.09	39.77				
GVg	EN	108.45 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	87.94 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	149.49 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	145.56 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	108.45 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	108.45 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	152.42 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	8.33	+	+	+
	KD	149.49 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	85.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	126.04 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	117.25 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	131.90 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	140.70 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	143.63 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	10.91			
	SEM	24.52	18.51	11.64	22.02	14.29	14.72	16.63				
CH <sub>4</sub> g	EN	70.35 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	61.55 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	85.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	93.80 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	64.49 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	76.21 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	91.84 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.26	+	+	+
	KD	90.87 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	52.76 <sup>b</sup> <sub>A</sub>	73.28 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	70.35 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	70.35 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	85.00 <sup>ab</sup> <sub>A</sub>	76.29 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.73			
	SEM	12.16	12.32	9.87	13.82	7.05	15.10	7.30				
CH <sub>4</sub> p	EN	63.54 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	72.08 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	56.25 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	64.30 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	62.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	71.55 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	61.96 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	2.62	+	+	+
	KD	66.49 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	61.67 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	58.21 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	58.59 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	52.92 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	59.17 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	76.29 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	3.07			
	SEM	5.73	5.01	4.19	4.20	3.81	9.42	3.32				
CH <sub>4</sub> r	EN	4.18 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	-6.90 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	16.02 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.55 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	7.94 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	-6.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	3.99	+	+	+
	KD	2.58 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	8.65 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	13.07 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	12.45 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	20.88 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	12.05 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.00 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.43			
	SEM	8.97	7.33	8.19	7.07	5.44	13.94	0.00				
FE	EN	6.19 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	7.54 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.29 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.97 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.90 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.11 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.39 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.46	+	+	+
	KD	4.64 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	8.12 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.49 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.94 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.23 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.62 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	4.64 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.60			
	SEM	1.02	1.69	0.52	1.21	0.86	0.86	0.46				
OMD	EN	49.96 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	46.35 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	57.18 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	56.67 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	49.96 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	49.96 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	57.70 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.47	+	+	+
	KD	57.18 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	45.83 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	53.06 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	51.51 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	54.09 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	55.64 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	56.15 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	1.92			
	SEM	4.32	3.26	2.05	3.88	2.52	2.59	2.93				
SCFA	EN	0.46 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.36 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.66 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.64 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.46 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.46 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.67 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.04	+	+	+
	KD	0.65 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.35 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.54 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.50 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.57 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.61 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.63 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.05			
	SEM	0.12	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.08				
ME	EN	5.82 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.26 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.93 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.85 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.81 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.82 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	7.01 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.23	+	+	+
	KD	6.93 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	5.18 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.30 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.05 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.46 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.69 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	6.77 <sup>a</sup> <sub>A</sub>	0.30			
	SEM	0.67	0.50	0.32	0.60	0.39	0.40	0.45				

<sup>a,b</sup> Means of herbs with different superscripts across the parametric rows within specific locations are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ )

<sub>A,B</sub> Means of location with different subscripts along the parametric columns within individual herbs are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ )

+ = Tended to be significant ( $P > 0.05 - 0.10$ ) ABA = *Aloe barbadense* ACO = *Ageratum conyzoides*, CCI = *Cymbopogon citratus*, EHE = *Euphorbia heterophylla*, SAC = *Sida acuta*, TPR = *Tridax procumbens*, CTR = Control, GV = Gas volume (mL/200mg DM), DMDg = Dry matter digestibility (g/Kg DM), GVg = Gas volume (mL/g DM), CH<sub>4</sub>g = Methane volume (mL/g DM), CH<sub>4</sub>p = Methane (%), CH<sub>4</sub>r = Methane reduction (%), FE = Fermentation efficiency, OMD = Organic matter digestibility, SCFA = Short chain fatty acid (mmol/200 mg DM) ME = Metabolisable energy (MJ/Kg DM), SEM = Standard Error of the Mean, VAR = Variable, LOC = Location

higher. The ash values obtained from the spices were comparable with what was reported by Udofia and Alozie (2015).

The variations observed in the chemical composition of the experimental plant part(s) collected from Enugu and Kaduna states could be due to several factors which include plant species, part, leaf age, fertilizer application and others which vary with locations such as soil type, climate and rainfall (Ball *et al.*, 2001; Njidda, 2010; Midau *et al.*, 2015). The CP content of most

of the herbs and spices collected from Kaduna were higher than their Enugu counterparts. This observation is in agreement with the reports by Rittner and Reed (1992) that plants in the Sahelian zone have higher nitrogen content than those found in the humid zone. Most of the herbs and spices in this study had CP values above the minimum protein requirement of 10–12 % recommended for ruminant livestock by ARC (1985) suggesting that they could be useful in meeting the dietary CP



requirement of ruminant livestock. There were variable effects of location and plant species on the fiber content of the plant samples collected. The fiber content of plants is dependent on synergy between factors such as plant developmental stage, leaf to stem ratio, nutrient availability and climatic factors such as temperature, photoperiod and drought or water stress (Fulkerson *et al.*, 2007). NDF gives an indication of the level of voluntary intake of forages. Thus as the NDF level increases, the voluntary intake is expected to decline (Coblentz and Hoffman, 2008). High temperature results in higher forage content of NDF and reduced fiber digestibility. The increase in temperature leads to increased microbial respiration. This in turn results in a rise in the level of NDF, ADF and lignin in plant tissues due to microbial oxidation of soluble sugars (Coblentz and Hoffman, 2008). Bakshi and Wadhwa (2004) stated that beyond 60 % NDF content of forage, voluntary DM intake is negatively affected. For Enugu alone *Sida acuta* only exceeded this limit while there was no plant for Kaduna only. While plants from both locations that exceeded the limit are *Aframomum melegueta*, *Xylopia aethiopica* and *Cymbopogon citratus*. The implication is that when these plants are to be included in ruminant diet techniques to improve their digestibility will also have to be considered. The level of ADF gives an indication of the digestibility and energy content of plant material. It has been established that the energy level of forages declines as the ADF level increases. Shroeder (2004) states that as the ADF content increases, forage digestibility decreases. The decrease in digestibility with increased ADF content was suggested to be due to the increased concentration of lignin. Van Soest (1967) also stated that as NDF level increases there is a decrease in the utilization of fiber. Hemicellulose content may also give an indication of how

digestible forages are due to the fact that it may be highly digestible provided that it has not being lignified. Ball *et al.* (2007) classified forages with ADF values greater than 43.00 - 45.00 % as low quality forages. This is attributed to the fact that forages with higher ADF levels are expected to have lower digestibilities due to the aforementioned reasons.

Thus, the fiber components of forages may not be used in insolation from one another in accessing forage quality due to their individual significance. With these limits suggested from literature, the plant samples in this study may be classified on the basis of voluntary DM intake and digestibility by ruminant livestock into those that are expected to decline in one or both of the indices of forage quality. Thus, *Cymbopogon citratus* (Enugu), *Aframomum melegueta* and *Xylopia aethiopica* (both locations) may be classified as those with expected decrease in DM intake and digestibility. While *Cymbopogon citratus* (Kaduna) may be characterised with reduced voluntary DM intake by ruminant livestock. *Allium cepa* and *Allium sativum* were characterised with very low levels of fiber (NDF and ADF) which would necessitate a good fiber source with their inclusion in the diet of ruminant livestock. Significantly lowered GV with *Zingiber officinale* and *Allium sativum* has been reported (Bunglavan *et al.*, 2010) at 50 mg/500 mg substrate. Conversely, higher GV with spice inclusion have been reported by several authors. Soroor and Moeini (2015) reported increased GV with *Zingiber officinale* at higher inclusion levels (30, 60 mg/ 200 mg substrate). Extracts of ginger have also been reported to increase GV (Patra, 2010 and Kim *et al.*, 2012). Sallam *et al.* (2009) reported increased GV with methanol extracts (0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 mL/ 75 mL) of thyme, fennel, ginger and black seed. However, ginger and black seed at the

## *Effect of some medicinal herbs and spices from Enugu and Kaduna States, Nigeria*

highest inclusion level (1.5 mL/75 mL) decreased GV by 4.6 and 22.5 % respectively. These reports on various spice treatments are at variance with the observations in this study.

There are reports of varying DMD and OMD responses due to inclusion of spices as was observed in this study. Sirohi *et al.* (2012) reported DMD response with spice extract inclusion (1 mL/ 30 mL ruminal fluid) *in-vitro*. It was observed that methanol extracts of green chilli and *Myristica fragrans* increased and reduced DMD respectively. Increased OMD due to inclusion of ginger (Soroor and Moeini, 2015; Patra, 2010) and decreased OMD with methanol extracts of ginger and black seed at 1.5 mL/75 mL (Sallam *et al.*, 2009) has been reported. The Highest CH<sub>4</sub> reduction in this study is comparable to what was reported (21.00 %) at 30 mg/200 mg by Soroor and Moeini (2015) for *Zingiber officinale*. It is however lower than what was reported (62.04 %) for *Myristica fragrans* methanol extract (Sirohi *et al.*, 2012). However, the increase in CH<sub>4</sub> production observed in *Aframomum melegueta* (Kaduna) is not uncommon as Sallam *et al.* (2009) reported increased CH<sub>4</sub> production (55 -166 %) with inclusion of spice extracts. Kim *et al.* (2012) reported no significant effect of the inclusion of methanol extracts of *Allium sativum*, *Allium cepa* and *Zingiber officinale* on SCFA concentration *in-vitro* at inclusion level of 0.3 mL/30 mL buffered rumen fluid. The values for FE due to spice inclusion were higher than the theoretical range of 2.75 – 4.41 proposed by Blummel *et al.* (1997). Getachew *et al.* (2000) reported an FE range of 3.1 to 16.1 for feeds rich in tannin. *Zingiber officinale* and *Aframomum melegueta* have been reported to contain EO, tannins, saponins, flavonoids and terpenes (Jude and Nneka, 2012; Bhargava *et al.*, 2012). *Allium sativum* and *Xylopia*

*aethiopica* have been reported to contain EO, with the former also containing saponins while tannins and saponins are present in the latter (Ameh *et al.*, 2013; Omeh *et al.*, 2014; Adesina *et al.*, 2015). Gazuwa *et al.* (2013) reported that *Allium cepa* contains terpenes, flavonoids and alkaloids. These reports indicate the presence of EO and other PSM in spices. Hence the inhibition of methanogenesis may be attributed to presence of one or more of the PSM in the spices of the current study.

*Ageratum conyzoides*, *Sida acuta*, *Tridax procumbens* and *Aloe barbadense* have been reported to contain tannin and saponins (Jude *et al.*, 2009; Adesuyi *et al.*, 2012; Agunbiade *et al.*, 2012; Rami *et al.*, 2014; Nduche *et al.*, 2015) while for *Euphorbia heterophylla*, saponins were not reported (Edeoga *et al.*, 2005; James and Emmanuel, 2010). *Cymbopogon citratus* is reported to contain EO and alkaloids (Ogie-Odia *et al.*, 2010; Salome *et al.*, 2012; Ekpenyong *et al.*, 2015; Uraku, 2015). Hence the reduction in CH<sub>4</sub> production by the herb treatments may be due to the presence of tannins and/or saponins or EO in the herbs. Tannins and saponins have been reported to reduce methanogenesis by inhibiting protozoa (indirect effect) and methanogens (Patra and Saxena, 2009; Mao *et al.*, 2010; Patra, 2012). Significantly reduced digestibility, SCFA and GV have been attributed to the presence of tannin and saponin extracts respectively *in-vitro* (Santoso *et al.*, 2007; Patra 2010; Tan *et al.*, 2011). The fact that these effects were not observed significantly in this study may be related to their concentration. Szumacher-Strabel *et al.* (2011) suggested that the low effect of tannin containing feed additives *in-vitro* may be due to their low concentration in the additives.

The source, type and level of tannins and saponins may be responsible for the different levels of inhibition of methane

production and rumen fermentation associated with some medicinal plants (Mueller-Harvey, 2006; Gugliemelli *et al.*, 2011; Jayanegara *et al.*, 2014). Hence increasing the inclusion level of the additives may result in significant effects being observed. These herbs have the potential for improved DMD and other favourable responses *in vivo* although their effects were not significant in the present study. Wanapat *et al.* (2008) reported that lemon grass powder supplementation at 100 g/day DM to a basal diet of urea treated rice straw improved nutrient digestibility, rumen microbial population and microbial protein synthesis in beef cattle. Reduction in the quantity of CH<sub>4</sub> produced is a most desired characteristic of plant additives and forages which should not be at the detriment of desired fermentation parameters such as improved DMD, OMD, FE, SCFA and ME. From both locations *Allium sativum*, *Zingiber officinale*, *Cymbopogon citratus* and *Sida acuta* gave the highest CH<sub>4</sub> reductions without significant decline in the desired fermentation parameters in contrast with the control indicating that they have the potential of being able to safely mitigate CH<sub>4</sub> production in ruminant livestock.

### Conclusion

Generally, *Allium sativum* bulbs, *Zingiber officinale* rhizome, *Cymbopogon citratus* and *Sida acuta* from both Enugu and Kaduna have shown potential for reducing ruminal methane production *in vitro*. The potential demonstrated was without compromising the desired fermentation parameters. The ability of these plants to sustain the reduction of rumen methane production *in vivo* should be considered for further study.

### References

- Adesina, J.M., Jose, A.R., Rajashaker, Y and Afolabi, L.A., 2015. Entomotoxicity of *Xylopia aethiopica* and *Aframomum melegueta* in suppressing oviposition and adult emergence of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabricus) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) infesting stored cowpea seeds. *Jordan Journal of Biological Science*, 8(4), 263-268
- Adesuyi, A.O., Awosanya, O.A., Adaramola, F.B. Omeonu, A.I., 2012. Nutritional and phytochemical screening of *Aloe barbadensis*. *Current Research Journal of Biological Science* 4, 4-9
- Adu, O.B., Folorunso, O.S., Adeola, S.A and Godfrey, C., 2013. Antioxidant and Antimicrobial properties of volatile oil of *Terminalia catappa* and its inhibition on the extracellular protease of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Research Journal of Life Science* 1(1), 1-8
- Agunbiade, O.S., Ojezele, O.M., Ojezele, J.O. and Ajayi, A.Y., 2012. Hypoglycaemic activity of *Commelina africana* and *Ageratum conyzoides* in relation to their mineral composition. *African Health Science*.12(2), 198-202.
- Ahamefule, F.O., Obua, B.E., Ibeawuchi, J.A. and Udosen, N.R., 2006. The nutritive value of some plants browsed by cattle in Umudike, Southeastern Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 5 (5), 404-409
- Ajayi, F.T. and Babayemi, O.J., 2008. Comparative in vitro evaluation of mixtures of *Panicum maximum* cv Ntchisi with stylo (*Stylosanthes guianensis*), Lablab (*Lablab purpureus*), Centro (*Centrosema pubescens*) and

- Histrix (*Aeschynomene histrix*). *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 20(6). <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd20/6/ajay20083.htm>
- Ameh, G.I., Eze, S.C. and Omeje, F.U., 2013.** Phytochemical screening and antimicrobial studies on the methanolic bulb extract of *Allium sativum*. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 12(4), 1665-1668.
- AOAC, 2000.** Official Methods of Analysis, 17th edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC, USA.
- ARC, 1980.** Agricultural Research Council. The nutrient requirements of ruminant livestock. (CAB, Farnham Royal, U.K.)
- ARC, 1985.** Agricultural Research Council. The nutrient requirements of farm animals, No 2, Ruminants: Technical Review of summaries (ARC, London)
- Babayemi, O.J., 2009.** Silage quality, dry matter intake and digestibility by West African dwarf sheep of Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum* cv Ntchisi) harvested at 4 and 12 weeks of regrowths. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8(16), 3983-3988.
- Bakshi, M. P. S. and Wadhwa, M. 2004.** Evaluation of forest leaves of semi-hilly arid region as livestock feed. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science*. 95, 93-104.
- Ball, D., Collins, M., Lacefield, G., Martin, N., Mertens, D., Olson, K., Putman, D., Undersander, D. and Wolf, M., 2001.** Understanding Forage Quality. (American Farm Bureau Federation Publication, Park Ridge). 1-17 pp.
- Ball, D. M., Hoveland, C. S. and Lacefield, G. D., 2007.** Southern Forages. 4th ed. (Potash and Phosphate Institute and Foundation for Agronomic Research. Norcross, GA)
- Bamikole, M.A. and Babayemi, O.J., 2004.** Feeding goats Guinea grass-Verano-Stylo and Nitrogen fertilized grass with energy concentrate. *Archivos de Zootecnia*, 53 (201), 77pp.
- Belewu, M. A., Olatunde, O. A. and Giwa, T. A., 2009.** Underutilized medicinal plants and spices: Chemical composition and **phytochemical properties**. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*. 3(12), 1099-1103.
- Bhargava, S., Dhabbai, K., Batra, A., Sharma, A. and Malhorta, B., 2012.** *Zingiber Officinale*: Chemical and phytochemical screening and evaluation of its antimicrobial activities. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*. 4(1), 360-364.
- Blummel, M., Makkar, H. P. S. and Becker, K., 1997.** *In vitro* gas production: a technique Revisited. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*. 77, 24-34.
- Bunglavan, S.J., Valli, C., Ramachandran, M. and Balakrishnan, V., 2010.** Effect of supplementation of herbal extracts on methanogenesis in ruminants. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 22 (11).
- Coblentz, W. and Hoffman, P., 2008.** Heat damaged forages: effects on forage quality. Focus on forage. 10(8): 1-4.
- Doherty, V.F., Olaniran, O.O. and Kanife, U.C., 2010.** Antimicrobial activities of



- Aframomum melegueta*. *International Journal of Biology*. 2(2), 126-129.
- Eckard, R.J., Grainger, C. and De Klain, C.A.M., 2010.** Options for abatement of methane and nitrous oxide from ruminant production: A review: *Livestock Science*. 130, 48-49.
- Edeoga, H.O., Okwu, D.E. and Mbaebie, B.O., 2005.** Phytochemical constituents of some Nigerian medicinal plants. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 4 (7), 685-688.
- Ekpenyong, C.E., Daniel, N.E. and Antai, A.B., 2015.** Bioactive natural constituents from lemon grass tea and erythropoiesis boosting effects: potential use in prevention and treatment of anaemia. *Journal of Medicinal. Food*. 18(1), 118 - 127.
- Fievez, V., Babayemi, O. and Demeyer, D., 2005.** Estimation of direct and indirect gas production in syringes: A tool to estimate short chain fatty acid production that requires minimal laboratory facilities. *Animal Feed Science Technology*. 123, 197–210.
- FAO. 2006.** Food and Agriculture Organisation. Livestock's Long shadow. (Environmental Issues and options. FAO. Rome). <http://www.fao.org/docrep/010/a0701e00.htm>
- FAO., 2010.** Food and Agriculture Organisation. The Impact of animal agriculture on the environment and climate change in India: A Focus on methane. HIS Fact Sheet. Hamane Society International.
- Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Bernsten, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D.W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D.C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M. and Van Dorland, R., 2007.** Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing. In: Climate change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of working Group I to fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom.
- Fulkerson, W.J., Neal, J.S., Clark, C.F., Horadagoda, A., Nandra, K.S., Barchia, I., 2007.** Nutritive value of forage species grown in the warm temperate climate of Australia for dairy cows: Grasses and legumes. *Livestock Science*. 107, 253-264.
- Garba, S. and Okeniyi, S.O., 2012.** Antimicrobial activities of total alkaloids extracted from some Nigerian medicinal plants. *Journal of Microbiology. Antimicrobials*. 4(3), 60-63
- Gazuwa, S.Y., Makanjuola, E.R., Jaryum, K.H., Kutshik, J.R. and Mafulul, S.G., 2013.** The Phytochemical Composition of *Allium cepa*/*Allium sativum* and the effects of their aqueous extracts (cooked and raw forms) on the lipid profile and other hepatic biochemical parameters in female albino wistar rats. *Asian Journal of Experimental Biological Science*. 4 (3), 406-410.
- Getachew, G., Makkar, H.P.S. and Becker, K., 1999.** Stoichiometric relationship between short chain fatty acid and *in vitro* gas production in presence and absence of polyethylene glycol for tannin containing browses, EAAP Satellite

- symposium, Gas production: Fermentation kinetics for feed evaluation and to assess microbial activity. 18 – 19 August, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Getachew, G., Makkar, H.P.S. and Becker, K., 2000.** Tannins in tropical browses: effects of tannins on *in vitro* microbial fermentation and microbial protein synthesis in medium containing different amounts of nitrogen, *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*. 48: 3581 - 3588.
- Gillespie, J.R., 1998.** Animal Science. (Delmar publishers international. Thompson Publishing Company). 1204 pp.
- Guglielmelli, A., Calabro, S., Primi, R., Carone, F., Cutrignelli, M.I., Tudisco, R., Piccolo, G., Ronchi, B. and Danieli, P.P., 2011.** *In vitro* fermentation patterns and methane production of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia* Scop.) hay with different condensed tannin contents. *Grass Forage Science* 66:488-500.
- IPCC 2007.** Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. The physical sciences basis group contribution to the Fourth Assessment of the IPCC. Cambridge University Press. 96 pp.
- James, O. and Emmanuel, T.F., 2010.** Phytochemical composition, bioactivity and wound healing potential of *Euphorbia heterophylla* (euphorbiaceae) leaf extract. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Biomedical Research*. 1(1), 54-63.
- Jayanegara, A, Wina, E. and Takahashi, J., 2014.** Meta-analysis on methane mitigating properties of saponin-rich Sources in the rumen: Influence of addition levels and plant sources. *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Science*. 27(10): 1426-1435.
- Jude, C.I, Ikewuchi, C., Catherine, M.I. and Ngozi, M.I., 2009.** Chemical profile of *Tridax procumbens* L. *Pakistan Journals of Nutrition*. 8(5), 548–550.
- Jude, U. A. and Nneka, V.C., 2012.** Antimicrobial properties and phytochemical Analysis of methanolic extracts of *Aframomum melegueta* and *Zingiber officinale* on fungal diseases of tomato fruit. *Journal of National Scientific Research*. 2 (6), 10-15.
- Khan, M.M. and Chaudhry, A., 2010.** Chemical composition of selected forages and spices and the effect of these spices in *in vitro* rumen degradability of some forages. *Asian-Australasian. Journal of Animal Science*. 23(7), 889-900.
- Kim, E.T., Kim, C-H., Min, K.-S. and Lee, S.S., 2012.** Effects of plant extracts on microbial population, methane emission and ruminal fermentation characteristics *in vitro*. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science*. 25 (6): 806–811.
- Mao, H.L., Wang, J.K., Zhou, Y.Y. and Liu, J.X., 2010.** Effects of addition of tea saponins and soyabean oil on methane production, fermentation and microbial population in the rumen of growing lambs. *Livestock Science*. 129: 56-62.
- McDonald, P., Edwards, R.A., Greenhalgh, J.F.D. and Morgan, C.A., 1995.** Animal Nutrition, 5<sup>TH</sup> Edition, (Longman, U.K.) 607 pp.



- Menke, K. H., Raab, L., Salewski, A., Steingass, H., Fritz, D., Schneider, W., 1979.** The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 92, 217-222
- Menke, K.H. and Steingass, H., 1988.** Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical Analysis and *in vitro* gas production using rumen fluid. *Animal Research Development*. 28, 7–58.
- Mepba, H.D. and Oguka- Nnoka, C.U., 2008.** Proximate composition and antinutrient contents of some common spices in Nigeria. *The Open Food Science Journal* 2: 62-67.
- Midau, A., Mubi, A.A. and Uri, Z.Z., 2015.** Evaluation of nutrient composition of selected forages in the livestock research farm of Adamawa State University. *Journal of Animal Science Advances*. 5(1): 1151-1156.
- Mueller-Harvey, I., 2006.** Unraveling the conundrum of tannins in animal nutrition and health. *Journal of Science, Food and Agriculture*. 86, 2010-2037.
- Nduche, M. U., Edeoga, H. O., Omosun, G. and Nwankwo, D., 2015.** Evaluation of the Chemical composition of five Nigerian medicinal plants. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Science*. 10(2): 27–31.
- Njidda, A.A., 2010.** Chemical composition, fibre fraction and anti-nutritional substances of some semi-arid browse forages of North- Eastern Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Basic Applied Science*. 18(2), 181-188.
- Norton, B.W., 1994.** Tree legumes as dietary supplements for ruminants. In: Gutteridge, R.C. and H.M., Shelton (editors). *Forage tree legumes in tropical agriculture*, (CAB International) pp 192-201.
- Ogie-odia, E.A., Esiegbe, D., Ilechie, M.N., Erhabor, J. and Ogbebor, E., 2010.** Foliar epidermal and phytochemical studies of the grasses *Cymbopogon citratus* (stapf), *Axonopus compressus* (P. Beauv) and *Eragrotis tremula* (S. W. Beauv) in Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria. *Scientific World Journal* 5(1), 20-25.
- Omajasola, P. and Kayode, R.M., 2015.** Microbial quality assessment and physio-chemical properties of selected poultry feeds from commercial feed mills in Ilorin, Nigeria. *International Journal of Applied Agriculture and Apicultural Research*. 11 (1 & 2), 60-66pp.
- Omeh, Y.N., Onoja, S.O., Ezeja, M.I., Bassey, S., Ogbenta, G. and Adetayo, A.B., 2014.** Phytochemical, nutrient composition and serum lipid lowering effect of *Xylopia aethiopica* fruit. *Br. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*. 4(17) 2096-2105.
- Patra, A. K. and Saxena, J., 2009.** Dietary phytochemicals as rumen modifiers: a review of the effects on microbial populations. *Anton. Leeuwenhoek International Journal of Gen. M*. 96: 369-375.
- Patra, A. K. 2010.** Meta-analyses of effects of phytochemicals on digestibility and rumen fermentation characteristics associated with

- methanogenesis. *Journal of Science, Food and Agriculture*. 90, 2700-2708.
- Patra, A. K. 2012.** Dietary phytochemicals and Microbes. (Springer Science and Publishing). 343pp.
- Rami, M. M., Oyekanmi, A. M. and Adegoke, B. M. 2014.** Proximate, phytochemical and micronutrient composition of *Sida acuta*. *Journal of Applied Chemistry*. 7(2): 93-98.
- Rittner, U. and Reed, J. D. 1992.** Phenolics and *in vitro* degradability of protein and fiber in West African browse. *Journal of Science. Food and Agriculture*. 58:21–28.
- Sallam, S. M. A., Bueno, I.C.S., Brigide, P., Godoy, P.B., Vitti, D.M.S.S., Abdalla, A.L., 2009.** Investigation of potential new opportunities for plant extracts on rumen microbial fermentation *in vitro*. In : Papachristou T.G. (ed.), Parissi Z.M. (ed.), Ben Salem H. (ed.), Morand-Fehr P. (ed.). Nutritional and foraging ecology of sheep and goats. Zaragoza: CIHEAM / FAO / NAGREF. O. SA. (85):255-260.
- Salome, A. C., Emeka, C. U., Ikechukwu, V. O., Sinye, A. B., Callister, E. U. and Godswill, C. O., 2012.** Formulation and evaluation of *Cymbopogon citratus* dried leaf-powder tablets. *African. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*. 6(48): 3274-3279.
- Santoso, B., Kilmaskossu, A. and Sambodoc, P., 2007.** Effects of saponins from *Biophytum petersianum* Klotzsch on ruminal fermentation, microbial protein synthesis and nitrogen utilization in goats. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 137,58–68.
- Schroeder, J. W. 2004.** Forage nutrition for ruminants. *Quality Forage*. North Dakota University Extension Services.1-14.
- Seresinhe, T., Perera, A. N. F. and Lal, P. K., 2014.** *In vitro* screening of some tropical goat feeds for low methane and high ammonia generating potential in the rumen. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 26, Article 52.<http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd26/3/sere26052.html>
- Sirohi, S.K., Goel, N. and Pandey. P., 2012.** Efficacy of different methanolic plant extracts on anti-methanogenesis, rumen fermentation and gas production kinetics *in vitro*. *Open Veterinary Journal*. 2,72-77.
- Soroor, M. E. N. and Moeini, M. M., 2015.** The Influence of Ginger (*Zingiber Officinale*) on *in vitro* rumen fermentation patterns. *Annual Research. Reviews in Biology*. 5(1),54-63.
- SAS, 2014.** Statistical Analysis Systems. SAS-STAT Program. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, North Carolina: .
- Sultan, J. I., Inam-Ur- Rahimi, Yaqoob, M., Nawazi, H. and Akhtar, P., 2009.** Nutritional evaluation of herbs as fodder source for ruminants. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 41(6), 2765-2776.
- Szumacher-Strabel, M., Zmora, P., Roj, E., Stochmal, A, Pers-Kamezcyc, E., Urbanczyk A, Oleszek, W., Lechniak, D. and Cieslak, A. 2011.** *In vitro* screening of the wild dog rose (*Rosa canina*) potential to mitigate rumen methane production. *Journal of Animal Feed Science*. 20, 285–299.
- Tan, H. Y., Sieo, C. C., Abdullah, N., Liang, J. B., Huang, X. D. and**

- Ho, Y. W., 2011. Effects of condensed tannins from *Leucaena* on methane production, rumen fermentation and populations of methanogens and protozoa *in vitro*. *Anim. Feed Science Technology*. Amsterdam. 169: 185-193.
- Tilley, J. M. A. and Terry, R. A., 1963. A two stage technique for the *in vitro* digestion of forage crops. *J. Br. Grassl. Soc.* 18: 104-111
- Udofia, U. S. and Alozie, Y. E., 2015. Nutrients and phytochemical composition of spices in relation to health: “Uyayak” (*Tetrapleura tetraptera*), “Odusa” (*Piper guineense*), “Inwun” (*Monodora myristica*) and “Ata” (*Xylopia aethiopica*). *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*. 11(2):211–214.
- UNDESA. 2013. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. World Population Prospects. The 2012 Revision, Key findings and advanced tables. Working paper. UN, New York. 1-5p.
- Uraku, A. J. 2015. Determination of chemical composition of *Cymbopogon citratus* leaves by gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Method. *Res. J. Phytochem.* 9 : 175 - 187.
- Uzeh, R. E. and Oguntosin, D. O. 2013. Efficacy of essential oils from some african spices against two strains of *Bacillus cereus* isolated from vegetable salad. *Journal of Food Research*. 2(6)
- Van Soest, P. J. 1967. Development of a comprehensive system of feed analysis and its applications to forages. *Journal of Animal Science*. 26: 119-128.
- Van Soest, P. J. Robertson, J. B. and Lewis, B. A. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science*., 74, 3583-3597.
- Wanapat, M., Cherdthong, A., Pardee, P. and Wanapat, S. 2008. Manipulation of rumen ecology by dietary lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus* Stapf.) powder supplementation. *Journal of Animal Science*. 86, 3497–3503.

*Received: 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2018*

*Accepted: 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2019*