ABG -30

Comparison of the Performances in the Body Weight of Layer Chickens during Egg Production

O.L. Okafor[1] and I.S.Omeje[2]
[1]Department of Animal Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology Owerri, Nigeria
[2]Department of Animal Science Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria
Corresponding author: O.L. Okafor; E-mail: lilian_oge@yahoo.com Tel: 08034469788.

Abstract
Study was conducted to compare the body weight of three strains of layer-type chicken during their egg production. The chickens used for this purpose were an exotic strain (ISA Brown), Nigerian adapted chicken (Funnab Alpha) and the local chicken. A total number of sixty birds were used, twenty birds per strain and were raised on deep litter pens. Data was collected for twelve weeks starting from fifty-two weeks to sixty-four weeks of age. The ISA Brown had highest mean body weight (1.93±0.09 kg) but notsignificantly different with the mean body weight of the Funnab Alpha chickens (1.93±0.09 kg).The local chicken had the least mean body weight (1.21±0.10 kg) which differed significantly with the mean body weight of the ISA Brown and the Funnab Alpha.

Keywords: Body weight, ISA Brown, Funnab Alpha and local chickens

Introduction

Body weight has often been used as the criterion of selection in experiments designed to examine both direct and correlated genetic changes in populations undergoing artificial selection (Dunnington and Siegel, 1985). Body weight at the onset of egg production is a major factor influencing hen productivity, while egg weight increases significantly when the initial body weight of the hens is high (Perez-Bonilla *et al.,* 2012). Growth increases with age in laying birds and consistency in egg number and egg weight (Ojedapo *et al.,* 2008). Heavier hens at the onset of the laying period consume more feed and produce bigger eggs throughout the egg cycle than lighter hens (Elzubeir and Mohammed, 1993). Body weight variation within a flock can be attributed to genetic and environmental factors that impinge on the individual's growth characteristics. Of the main factors influencing egg size and feed intake, body size is the most important (Summer and Lessen, 1983).

The objective of the study was therefore to compare the body weight of three strains of layer-type chicken during egg production.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at the Poultry Breeding and Genetic Research unit of the Department of Animal Science, Delta State University, Asaba Campus. The three strains used in this study were housed separately according to their strain on deep litter pens. Daily operation and routine management were strictly adhered to, and birds were fed on a commercial ration. The birds were weighed individually on a digital scale and readings were taken to the nearest kilogram. Data were taken from 52 weeks to 64 weeks of age and subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS (2004). A completely randomized design was adopted as strain was the only factor of interest.

The following model was used:

$$X_{ij} = \mu + S_i + \Sigma_{ij}$$

Where

X_{ij} = Observation made on the individual birds
μ = Population mean
S_i = Strain effect
Σ_{ij} = Experimental error

Results and Discussion

From the data obtained in this study (Table 1) the ISA Brown had the highest mean of the body weight measurement (1.93±0.09 kg), followed by the Funnab Alpha chickens with the mean body weight of (1.91±0.09 kg). The local chickens had the least mean value of 1.21±0.10kg.In most weeks of the study, the ISA Brown had the highest mean body weight, followed by the Funnab Alpha followed by the local chicken. At four weekly periods (2, 8, 9, and 11) of the study, the Funnab Alpha numerically outperformed the ISA Brown in mean body weight, although there was no significance difference

observed in the mean body weight of the ISA Brown and Funnab Alpha. This showed that Funnab Alpha chicken competed favourably with the ISA Brown, while ISA Brown and Funnab Alpha supersede the local chicken. There have been some reports on the better performance of the exotic chickens over the local chickens as in this study. The ISA Brown being an exotic strain proved superiority over the two strains. The Funnab Alpha being an improved local strain outperformed the pure local chickens.

Result obtained in this study is in conformity with what Omeje and Nwosu (1988), Asuquo*et al.* (1992) and Oluyemi and Robert (2007) reported on the better performance of the exotic strains over the local strains of chickens.

Table 1: Mean and Standard error meanvalues of the body weight (kg) inthree strainsof layer-type chicken

Week of study	ISA Brown	Funnab Alpha	Local chicken
1	2.04 ± 0.09^{b}	1.98 ± 0.09^{b}	1.35 ± 0.09^{a}
2	1.98 ± 0.09^{b}	2.02 ± 0.09^{b}	1.37 ± 0.10^{a}
3	1.94 ± 0.09^{b}	1.93 ± 0.09^{b}	1.30 ± 0.09^{a}
4	1.98 ± 0.09^{b}	1.97 ± 0.09^{b}	1.32 ± 0.10^{a}
5	1.98 ± 0.09^{b}	1.97 ± 0.09^{b}	1.32 ± 0.10^{a}
6	1.96 ± 0.08^{b}	1.93 ± 0.08^{b}	1.32 ± 0.09^{a}
7	1.93 ± 0.08^{b}	1.90 ± 0.08^{b}	1.33 ± 0.09^{a}
8	1.88 ± 0.08^{b}	1.89 ± 0.08^{b}	1.29 ± 0.08^{a}
9	1.86 ± 0.07^{b}	1.89 ± 0.07^{b}	1.28 ± 0.08^{a}
10	1.87 ± 0.08^{b}	1.84 ± 0.08^{b}	1.30 ± 0.09^{a}
11	1.82 ± 0.09^{b}	1.84 ± 0.09^{b}	1.29 ± 0.10^{a}
12	1.89 ± 0.10^{b}	1.81 ± 0.10^{b}	1.29 ± 0.11^{a}
13	1.93 ± 0.11^{b}	1.79 ± 0.11^{a}	1.27 ± 0.12^{a}
Mean ± SE	1.93 ± 0.09^{b}	1.91 ± 0.09^{b}	1.21 ± 0.10^{a}

a, b, mean values with different superscript are statistically different ($p<0.05$)



Fig. I: The 95% confidence limits of the mean body weight of three strainsof chicken

Conclusion and Recommendation

The Funnab Alpha strain, which is a Nigerian adapted chicken, was found to compete favourably with the exotic ISA Brown, and better above the local chickens. Hence the Funnab Alpha strain could be integrated into National planning.

References

Asuquo, B.O., Okon, B. and Ekong, A. (1992). Quality parameters of ISA Brown and Nigerian local chicken eggs. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 19(1): 1

Dunnington, E.A. and Siegel, P.B. (1985). Longterm selection for 8-week body weight in chickens – direct and correlated responses. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics,* 71(2): 305 – 313.

Elzubeir, E.A. and Mohammed, O.A. (1993). Dietary protein and energy effects on reproductive characteristics of commercial egg-type pullets reared in arid hot climate. *Animal feed Science Technology,* 41: 161-165.

Ojedapo, L.O., Akinokun, O., Adedeji, T.A., Olayemi, T.B., Ameen, S.A., Ige, A.O. and Amao, S.R. (2008). Evaluation of growth traits and short-term laying performance of three different strains of chicken in the derived savannah zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of Poultry Science,* 7(1): 92–96.

Oluyemi, J.A. and Roberts, F.A. (2007). *Poultry production in warm wet climates,* Revised Ed. Spectrum Books Limited Ibadan, Nigeria.

Omeje, S.S.I. and Nwosu, C.C. (1988). Utilization of the Nigerian chicken in poultry breeding, assessment of heterosis in growth and egg production. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics,* 105: 417-420.

Perez-Bonilla, A., Jabbour, C., Frikha, M., Mirzgie, S., Garcia, J. and Mateos, G.G. (2012). Effect of crude protein and fat content of the diet on productive performances and egg quality traits of brown-egg-laying hens with different initial body weight.*Poultry Science,* 91: 1400-1405.

SAS (2004). SA user's guide. Statistical Version, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA.

Summers, J.D. and Leesen, S. (1983). Factors influencing egg size. *Poultry Science,* 62:1155-1159.

.