

Livestock value chain: Prediction of liveweight and cut yield of three indigenous breeds of cattle in Nigeria

¹Babayemi, O. J., ¹Ajayi, M. O., ²*Olona, J. F., Anurudu, N. F. and ³Ajayi, F. T.

¹Department of Animal Science, University of Ibadan, Nigeria; ²Federal College of Animal Health and Production Technology, Moor Plantation, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria;



³Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Moor plantation, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author: jolona84@yahoo.com 08054228725

Abstract

Problems associated with prediction of saleable meat yield, price haggling and monetary worth of cattle purchased due to difficulties in accurately relating visual appearance of animals and the weight with their price have always been in existence. This study was carried out to determine the accuracy in the use of heart girth tape as an alternative to the use of weighing bridge and crush, dressing percentage and expected cut yield from three indigenous breeds of cattle in Nigeria using linear body measurement. Thus, a total number of 51 healthy and mature White Fulani (n=17), Sokoto Gudali (n=17) and Red Bororo (n=17) cattle breeds were randomly purchased from Ilesha Baruba cattle market Kwara State, Kotangora cattle market, Niger State and Akinyele cattle market, Ibadan, Oyo state and were subjected to heart girth measurements. The study lasted for 32 weeks. Live weights of animals were determined at the point of purchase using a specialized measuring tape (girth tape) calibrated in kilogram (kg) and centimeter (cm). The live weights were recorded in kilogram which was used in price negotiation at the market. The live weight of the cattle ranged from 164 – 463 kg. The mean girth tape values were White Fulani (286.53±51.06)kg, Sokoto Gudali (293.35±77.51)kg and Red Bororo 261.88±60.65)kg respectively. Post slaughtering data collected were dressing percentage, weight of head(kg), neck(kg), shanks(kg), forearm(kg), thigh(kg), skin(kg), liver(kg), heart(kg), intestine(kg) and kidney(g). The study revealed that heart girth measurement had high correlation coefficient with live weight ($r=0.99$, $p<0.001$). This implies that the use of heart girth tape in weight prediction is accurate and reliable. There were significant ($p<0.05$) differences in the dressing percentage among the breeds (43.55-46.52) %. Significant ($p<0.05$) differences were observed in the cut yield percentage between Red Bororo (33.16±4.12) and other breeds but none between White Fulani (37.14±4.50)% and Sokoto Gudali (34.53±5.26)%. There were significant ($p<0.05$) differences in the head (13.52-13.42)kg, Neck (14.70-18.07)kg, left thigh (27.92-29.41)kg, right forearm (22.91-27.24)kg, left forearm (24.84-27.57)kg, liver (3.60 – 3.84)kg, skin (15.03 -15.39), shank (2.20-2.41)kg, tail (5.23-5.92)kg but none for right thigh, kidney and lungs among the breeds. The variations in the yield may be as a result of genetic, management, weight and age of the animals. It can be concluded that heart girth tape may be used where weighing crush is not available because of its accuracy and reliability. The study also revealed that White Fulani has higher meat yield than Sokoto Gudali and Red Bororo and will therefore be more profitable to cattle sellers, abattoir centers, slaughter houses and meat shops.

Keywords: Liveweight, Cut Yield, Nigeria breeds of cattle, Linear Body Measurement

Introduction

The knowledge of livestock weight assessment remains the backbone on which all animal production management

practices are hinged. Apart from avoiding the errors of visual determination of animal weights, small scale animal farmers need a simple skill and tool in estimating

liveweight of cattle when a weighbridge cannot be assessed. Linear body measurements can be used to assess growth rate, feed utilization and carcass characteristics of farm animals (Brown *et al.* 1973). According to Birteebi and Ozoje (2012), when producers and buyers of livestock are able to relate animal measurements to carcass characteristics, optimum production and value based trading system will be realized. This would ensure that farmers and buyers get value for their stock and or livestock processors making a lot more profit rather than the middlemen (Afolayan *et al.*, 2006). A weight band (heart girth tape) is a measuring tape whose graduation has a correlation between liveweight (measured in kg) and linear measurement (measured in cm). The weight band is a simple and portable yet practical and effective tool for livestock farmers without access to an appropriate weighing scale. Cattle marketing and processing is a source of livelihood to livestock farmers and butchers in Nigeria. Apart from taking live weight of meat animals, researchers also use body parameters such as body length and chest girths in other to adequately evaluate live animals. According to Srivastava *et al.* (1968), body measurements are indices of skeletal development and indirectly help to determine carcass composition.

Most cattle farmers, abattoirs and slaughter houses do not have access to a scale to weigh their livestock and this creates both management, purchasing and sales problems. In order to follow a standard way of weighing, it may then require that farmers have to travel long distances to weigh their livestock before selling or for management or for slaughtering. Embarking on such procedure would be

cumbersome and unsustainable.

Marketing weights and estimation of animal's cash value, drug administration as well as conducting breeding studies, field experiments and estimation of dressed carcass weight are customary based on visual assessment (Msangi *et al.* 1999). This is mainly because the use of live weight criteria as recommended worldwide requires sophisticated facilities, weighing instruments but which are expensive, entails technical know-how and may be uneasy to transport. The agro-pastoral herder may be worst still due to their transhuman nature of cattle management and. At present, animal owners, veterinarians and cattle traders depend on visual assessment (eye ball judgment) to determine and estimate live weight. Apart from the fact that the outcome of visual appraisal of determining the liveweight of cattle might be subjective, the farmers might be producing at loss. Easier way but relatively accurate process for liveweight assessment should therefore be put in place. The objective of this study was therefore to determine the accuracy in the application of heart girth tape for liveweight prediction and the breed with highest cut yield among indigenous beef cattle in Nigeria.

Materials and methods

The study was carried out at the Slaughter House of the University of Ibadan. Heart girth measuring tape, tape rule, digital/electronic weighing scale and table weighing scale were used for the study. The three indigenous breeds of cattle (White Fulani, Sokoto Gudali and Red Bororo) of varying ages of between 2-3 year using horn rings to determine age were sourced from Kwara, Niger and Oyo State respectively. A total of 51 cattle included 17 each was selected, purchased and used for the study.



Plate 1: Heart girth tape



Plate 2: Hanging digital weighing scale

Determination of live weight using heart girth tape

At point of purchase, girth tape was used to estimate the liveweight of the animals.

The heartgirth was taken by the measurement of the circumference of the chest with the girth tape by placing the tape round the sternal region immediately after the forearm of the animal. Girth tape is calibrated in centimeter and kilogram; the weight was where the two ends met with the other side and these were recorded.

Slaughtering and processing of cattle

Prior to slaughtering process, the animals were kept in the lairage for 48 hours and served with feed and cool water. However, feed was withdrawn 12 hours before slaughtering. The cattle were slaughtered by severing the jugular vein and hoisted on the processing rail for 30 minutes for proper blood draining and collection of reverse peristaltic rumen ingesta to avoid carcass contamination. After lowering the carcass from the processing rail, it was flayed, and cut into quarters after the head and the limbs had been decapitated. Each part was weighed with the aid of a digital hanging scale to determine the dressing percentage and yield derivables. Dressing percentage was obtained as carcass weight by a percentage of liveweight. Carcass weight was estimated by: multiplying live weight by dressing percentage. It was expressed mathematically as: Dressing percentage =

$$(\text{carcass weight} \div \text{live weight}) \times 100$$

Carcass weight = live weight \times dressing percentage.

Statistical analysis

Data collected were subjected to one way analysis of variance procedure of SAS (1999). Significant means were separated using the Duncan multiple range test. The relationship of linear measurement and liveweight were estimated using general linear model. Regression technique was also used to obtain relationship between livebodyweight and heart girth for each breed groups.

Results and discussion

Table 1 show the mean values of heart girth and live body weight of the three indigenous breeds of cattle used in this study. The heart girth measurements and live weights ranged from 123 - 177cm and 164-463 kg respectively. This is higher than those reported by Abdelhadi and Babiker (2009) for zebu cattle of South America (Solsa *et al.* 2002), zebu cattle of Australia (MacGowan *et al.* 2002) and Boran cattle of Ethiopia (Nicholas and Sayer, 1987). These differences might be due to genetic effect, environment and management practices. However, the mean weight in the present study is similar to that reported earlier by Mpiri *et al.* (1988). This implies that linear relationship existed between liveweight and heart girth.

Table 1: Overall heart girth measurements and body weight of White Fulani, Sokoto Gudali and Red Bororo

| Breed of Cattle | No. of Animals | Heart girth cm (Mean±SD) | Range | Weight kg (Mean±SD) | Range |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| White Fulani | 17 | 149.70±9.35 | 128-171 | 286.58±51.06 | 183-418 |
| Sokoto Gudali | 17 | 153.88±14.79 | 133-177 | 293.35±77.51 | 205-463 |
| Red Bororo | 17 | 146.00±12.71 | 123-168 | 261.88±60.65 | 164-396 |
| All animals | 51 | 149.84±12.66 | 123-177 | 289.59±69.51 | 164-463 |

The results for carcass and cut yield from the three indigenous breeds studied are shown on Table 2. There were significant ($p<0.05$) differences in the mean value obtained from the head (kg), neck (kg), left thigh (kg), right forearm (kg), left forearm (kg), dressing percentage (%) and cut yield(%) among the three breeds. In all these parameters, White Fulani had the highest value over Sokoto Gudali and Red Bororo. The cut yield was 37.14%, 34.53% and 33.16% for White Fulani, Sokoto Gudali and Red Bororo respectively. However,

there was variation in the dressing percentage; Red Bororo (45.42%) had advantage over Sokoto Gudali (43.55%). The value, 46.52% dressing percentage for White Fulani in this study does not agree with the earlier report by Tawah and Rege (1996) of 50-60% dressing percentage. Right thigh was not significantly ($p<0.05$) different among the breeds statistically however, White Fulani (37.14%) produced highest cut (meat) yield. These findings can be used to negotiate for the price of cattle in the market.

Table 2: Carcass and cut yield of three indigenous breed of cattle in Nigeria

| Parameters | Breeds of Cattle | | | SEM |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| | White Fulani | Sokoto Gudali | Red Bororo | |
| Head(kg) | 15.42 ^a ±2.62 | 13.98 ^b ±2.80 | 13.52 ^c ±2.53 | 0.37 |
| Neck(kg) | 18.07 ^a ±5.09 | 16.48 ^b ±6.72 | 14.70 ^c ±4.32 | 0.77 |
| Right Thigh(kg) | 30.06±4.85 | 29.62±7.46 | 27.17±6.14 | 0.89 |
| Left Thigh(kg) | 29.41 ^a ±5.89 | 29.06 ^b ±7.70 | 27.92 ^c ±6.06 | 0.93 |
| Right Forearm(kg) | 27.24 ^a ±5.41 | 26.39 ^b ±6.70 | 22.91 ^c ±5.74 | 0.86 |
| Left Forearm(kg) | 27.57 ^a ±5.68 | 26.28 ^b ±8.18 | 24.84 ^c ±6.23 | 0.94 |
| Dressing Percentage (%) | 46.52 ^a ±3.31 | 43.55 ^c ±1.12 | 45.42 ^b ±3.59 | 0.56 |
| Cut yield (%) | 37.14 ^a ±4.50 | 34.53 ^{ab} ±5.26 | 33.16 ^b ±4.12 | 0.68 |

^{abc} Means with the same superscript are not significantly different ($p>0.005$)

Table 3 presents yield of internal and external offal of the three indigenous breeds of cattle. The values for the three breeds ranged from 0.64-0.78g, 0.97-1.08kg, 2.18-2.29kg, 3.60-3.84kg, 15.03- 18.19kg, 4.72-5.61kg, 3.30-2.41kg and 5.23-5.92kg for kidney, heart, lung, liver, skin, intestine, shank and tail respectively. The kidney, heart, lung and intestine of the three breeds showed no significant ($p<0.05$) differences.

The mean value of skin is higher in Sokoto Gudali(18.19) kg than White Fulani with higher dressing percentage. This corroborates the findings of Mohammed (2004) who reported that the yield of the external non-carcass components decline as the slaughter weight increases. Similarly, Eltahir, (2007) reported that total external and internal non-carcass components decreases with the increase in slaughter weight.

Table 3: Yield of internal and external offal of three indigenous breeds of cattle in Nigeria

| Parameters | Breeds of Cattle | | | SEM |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| | White Fulani | Sokoto Gudali | Red Bororo | |
| Kidney(g) | 0.69±0.14 | 0.78±0.29 | 0.64±0.16 | 0.90 |
| Heart(kg) | 1.08±0.44 | 1.03±0.51 | 0.97±0.51 | 0.07 |
| Lung(kg) | 2.18±1.05 | 2.26±0.85 | 2.29±1.24 | 0.15 |
| Liver(kg) | 3.84 ^a ±0.85 | 3.78 ^{ab} ±1.15 | 3.60 ^b ±0.86 | 0.13 |
| Skin(kg) | 15.39 ^{ab} ±3.15 | 18.19 ^a ±4.46 | 15.03 ^b ±4.86 | 0.62 |
| Intestine(kg) | 5.61±1.70 | 5.00±1.18 | 4.72±1.58 | 0.21 |
| Shank(kg) | 2.41 ^a ±0.60 | 2.35 ^b ±0.78 | 2.20 ^c ±0.62 | 0.28 |
| Tail(kg) | 5.67 ^b ±0.99 | 5.92 ^a ±1.06 | 5.23 ^c ±1.25 | 0.16 |

^{abc} Means with the same superscript are not significantly different (p>0.05)

The correlation coefficients between liveweight and the heart girth measurements of all animals studied are shown in Table 4. All the correlation coefficients were significant. A higher

positive correlation coefficient was found between live weight and heart girth in Sokoto Gudali ($r=0.99$, $P<0.1$) than in other breeds of cattle studied. This implies that there is high relationship between heart girth measurement and live body weight.

Table 4: Correlation coefficient between heart girth and live weight of three indigenous breeds of cattle in Nigeria

| Heart girth | Correlation coefficient | Level of significance |
|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| White Fulani | 0.986 | <0.0001 |
| Sokoto Gudali | 0.991 | <0.0001 |
| Red Bororo | 0.989 | <0.0001 |

Conclusion

The study showed that the use of heart girth tape in the prediction of liveweight is accurate and reliable; this tool is portable and convenient to be carried than heavy and expensive weighing bridge that might not even be available. The cut yield percentage were White Fulani > Sokoto Gudali > Red Bororo respectively. It is therefore, suggested that for optimum retail/profit maximization in slaughter houses and abattoir, White Fulani is the most appropriate breed for commercial purpose because of its high cut yield advantage over other breeds of cattle. This study if harnessed will assist cattle farmers/sellers, slaughter houses and meat shops in taking crucial managerial decisions especially on cut price and enhance bargaining potentials in the cattle market.

Acknowledgment

The author(s) wished to acknowledge the

support of the Slaughters House of the University of Ibadan for the provision of animals, materials and other logistics.

References

- Abdelhadi, O. M. A. and Babiker, S. A. 2009.** Prediction of zebu cattle live weight using live animal measurements. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. Volume 21, Article No.133, 2010
- Afolayan, R. A., Adeyinka, I. A. and Lakpini, C. A. M. 2006.** The estimation of live weight from body measurements in Yankasa sheep. *Czech Journal of Animal Science* 51: pp 343-348
- Atta, M. and El khidir, O. A. 2011.** Use of heart girth, wither height and scapuloischial length for prediction of liveweight of Nilotic sheep. *Small Ruminant Research*

- 55, pp 233-237
- Birteebi, P. and Ozoje, M. O. 2012.** Prediction of live body weight from linear body measurements of West African long-legged and West African dwarf sheep in Northern Ghana. *Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research* .Volume 2, Issue 5: pp 427-434
- Blackmore, D. W., McGulliard, L. D. and Lush, J. L. 1995.** Genetic relationship between body measurements at three ages in Holstein. *Journal of Dairy Science* 41: 1045
- Bozkurt, Y. 2006.** Prediction of body weight from body size measurements in Brown Swiss feedlot cattle fed under small-scale farming conditions. *Journal of Applied Animal Research* 29: pp 29-32
- Brown, J. E., Brown C. J. and Butts, W. T. 1973.** Evaluating relationships among immature measures of size, shape and performance of beef bulls. *Journal of Animal Science* 36: 1010-1020
- Buvanendran, V. and Olayiwola, M. B. 1983.** Carcass characteristics of indigenous breeds of cattle in Nigeria. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 100(02):407 - 411 DOI 10.1017/S0021859600033566
- de Villiers, J. E., Gcumisa, S. T. and Gumede, S. A. 2009.** Estimation of live body weight from the heart girth measurement in KwaZulu-Natal goats. *Applied Animal Husbandry & Rural Development* 2009, Volume 2
- Delhadi, O. M. A. and Babiker, S. A. 2009.** Prediction of zebu cattle live weight using live Animal measurements. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. Volume 21, Article No.133 May 1, 2010
- Dingwell, R. T., Wallace, M. M., McLaren L. C. F. and Leslie, K. K. E. 2006.** An evaluation of two indirect methods of estimating body weight in Holstein calves and heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89: pp 3992-3998
- Eltahir, I. E. 2007.** Growth and Development of Body Tissues and Characteristics of Major Muscles of Western Sudan Baggara Bulls. Ph. D. thesis Sudan Academy of Sciences, SAS, Animal Resources Research Council
- Fajemilehin, O. K. S. and Salako, A. E. 2008.** Body measurement characteristics of the West African Dwarf (WAD) goat in deciduous forest zone of Southwestern Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 7, pp 2521-2526
- Fida, M., Hamayun, K., Pervez., Muhammad, Z., Gul, N. and Rahimullah 2006.** Relationship of body weight with linear body measurements in goats. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* 5, pp 452-455
- Fouire, P. J., Neser, F. W. C., Oliver, J. J. and Van der Westhizen, C. 2002.** Relationship between production performance, visual appraisal and body measurements of young Dorper rams. *South African Journal of Animal Science* 32: pp 256-262
- Goe, M. R., Alldredge, J. R. and Light, D. 2001.** Use of heart girth to predict body weight of working

- oxen in the Ethiopian highlands. *Livestock Production Science* 69: pp187- 195
- Khan, H., Muhammad, F., Ahmad, R., Nawaz, G., Rahimullah, A. and Zubair, M. 2006.** Relationship of body weight with linear body measurements in goats. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science* 1: pp51-54
- Kumar, A., Kebede, E. and Kassaye, E. 2010.** Evaluation of quality of beef produced and sold in parts of Tigray Region of Ethiopia. *Tropical Animal Health Production* 42: pp 445 – 449
- Kunene, N. W., Nesamvuni, A. E. and Nsahlai, I. V. 2009.** Determination of prediction equations for estimating body weight of Zulu (Nguni) sheep. *Small Ruminant Research* 84, pp41- 46
- MacGowan, M. R., Bertran, J. D., Fordyce, G., Fitzpatrick, L. A., Miller, R. G., Jayawardhana, G. A., Doogan, V. J., De Faveri, J. and Holroyd, R. G. 2002.** Bull selection and use in northern Australia 1. Physical traits. *Animal Reproduction Science* 71: 25-37
- Machebe, N. S. and Ezekwe, A. G. 2010.** Predicting body weight of growing-finishing gilts raised in the tropics using linear body measurements. *Asian Journal of experimental biological sciences* 1:162-165
- McGee, M. G., Keane, R., Neilan, A. P., Moloney, and P. J. Caffrey. 2007.** Body and carcass measurements, carcass conformation and tissue distribution of high dairy genetic merit Holstein, standard dairy genetic merit Friesian and Charolais × Holstein-Friesian male cattle. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*. 46:pp 129–147
- Mekonnen, H. M. and Biruk, T. 2004.** Heart girth-body weight relationship in two Ethiopian zebu breeds. *Revue de Medecine Veterinaire* 155, pp 512-515
- Mohammed, A. M. 2004.** Effect of slaughter weight on meat potential of Western Sudan Baggara Cattle. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Khartoum
- Mohammed, A. M., Atta, M., Babiker, S. A. and El Khidir, O. A. 2007.** Economic evaluation of beef production from western Sudan Baggara bulls fattened to different slaughter weights. *Sudan Academy of Science Journal*, 1 (2): pp 19 - 29.
- Msangi, B. S. J., Bryant, M. J., Kavana, P. Y. and Kizima, J. B. 1999.** Body measurements as a management Tool for crossbred dairy cattle at a Smallholder farm condition. In; *Proceedings of 26th Scientific Conference of Tanzania Society of animal Production* (Edited by Mbaga et al) 3rd – 5th August 1999, LITI – Tengeru, Arusha, Tanzania pp 168-175
- Nesamvuni, A. E., Mulaudzi, J., Ramanyimi, N. D. and Taylor, G. J. 2000.** Estimation of body weight in Nguni type cattle under communal management conditions. *South African Journal of Animal Sciences* 30(1): 97-98
- Northcutt, S. L., Wilson, D. E. and Willham, R. L. 1992.** Adjusting weight for body condition score in Angus cows. *Journal of Animal Science*, (70):1342-1345
- Nsoso, S. J., Aganga, A. A., Moganetsi, B. P. and Tshwenyane, S. O. 2003.** Body weight, body condition

- score and heart girth in indigenous Tswana goats during the dry and wet seasons in southeast Botswana. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 15, article 5
- Ojedapo, L. O., Adedeji, T. A., Olayeni, T. B., Adedeji, O. S., Abdullah, A. R. and Ojebiyi, O. O. 2007.** Influence of age and sex on body weight and some body linear measurements of extensively reared West African Dwarf goats in derived savannah zone of Nigeria. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* 6, pp 114-117
- Otoikhian, C. S. O., Otoikhian, A. M., Akporhwarho, O. P., Oyefia, V. E. and Isidahomen, C. E. 2008.** Body measurement parameters as a function of assessing body weight in goats under On-farm research environment. *African Journal of General Agriculture* 4(3): pp 135-140
- Pesmen, G. and Yardimci, M. 2008.** Estimating the live weight using some body measurements in Saanen goats. *Archiva Zootechnica* 11, pp 30-40
- Purchas, W. 2003.** Factors affecting carcass composition and beef quality. In D. C. Smeaton (Ed.) (Eds.). Profitable beef production: A guide to beef production in New Zealand (pp. 124-152). Wellington, NZ: New Zealand Beef Council. [Book - Chapter In, Research]. 2003
- Riaño, A. L. and Saw, T. I. 2008.** Evaluation of the behavior of yields in channel flesh, bone and fat of bovine commercial crossings participating in the Prime cattle competitions carried out in Colombia. *Journal of Animal Science* (1): 37-50
- SAS (Statistical Analysis System) 1999.** User's Guide Version 8.1. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA
- Shirima, G. A., Kashoma, I. P. B., Kazwala, R. R. and Kambarage, D. M. 2005.** Estimating bodyweight of Tanzania Shorthorn Zebu (TSHZ) cattle using heart girth measurements. In: Proceedings of Tanzania Veterinary Association, Nov 14th – 18th 2005, AICC, Arusha, Tanzania
- Srivastar, K. K., Raizada, B. O. and Kulkarni, V. A. 1968.** The anatomy of domestic animals. W B Saunders Company. Philadelphia.
- Tawah, C. L. and Rege, J. E. O. 1996.** White Fulani cattle of west and central Africa. *Animal Genetic Resources Information Bulletin*. 17:137-15
- Thiruvankadan, K. A. 2005.** Determination of best-fitted regression model for estimation of body weight in Kanni Adu kids under farmer's management system. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. Volume 17, Article No. 85
- Williams, C. B., Keele, J. W. and Waldo, D. R. 1992.** A computer model to predict empty body weight in cattle for diet and animal characteristic. *Journal of Animal Science*, 70: 3215– 3221

Received: 10th November, 2017

Accepted: 21st February, 2018