

### NIGERIAN SOCIETY FOR ANIMAL PRODUCTION

44<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference - ABUJA 2019
Book of Proceedings



# RESPONSES OF BIOCHEMICAL INDICES OF GROWING TURKEYS FED GRASSHOPPER MEAL AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR FISH MEAL

¥Bello, B., ¥Muhammad, B.F., βUmar, A.M., £Nasir, M. and μBakinruwa, M.B. ¥Department of Animal Science, Bayero University, Kano. βDepartment of Animal Health and Production, Binyaminu Usman Polytechnic, Hadejia, Jigawa State. £Department of Animal Science, Kano University of Science and Technology, Wudil. μDepartment of Animal Health and Production, Audu Bako College of Agriculture, Dambatta, Kano.

Corresponding author: auw.umar@gmail.com +2348164727548 and +2348027374654

### Abstract

Fifty grower turkeys were randomly assigned into five dietary treatments and replicated three (3) times. The turkeys were fed diets containing 0%, 2.25%, 4.50%, 6.75% and 9.00% grasshopper meal. The experimental diets and clean drinking water were supplied *ad libitum* throughout the experimental periods of 10 weeks. All data generated were subjected to one-way analysis of variance in a completely randomized design. The result showed a significant difference due to treatments on urea, cholesterol, globulin and creatinine. Non-significant difference was recorded on glucose, total protein and albumin. The urea (mmol/L) levels recorded on T1 (3.00), T2 (2.95), T3 (3.08) and T4 (3.00) were statistically (P<0.05) higher than values recorded on treatment T5 (2.90). The cholesterol (mmol/L) and globulin (g/L) contents of T and T5 were similar and significantly (P<0.05) higher compared to other treatments. The result for creatinine (mmol/L) indicated (P<0.05) higher values in T4 (36.25) and T5 (36.00) compared to T1 (32.50). All these values were still within the recommended range for healthy turkey; hence, it was concluded that inclusion of grasshopper meal in the diet of turkey grower up to 9.00% had no adverse effect on their blood profile.

Key words: Responses, Biochemical, Turkeys, Diet and Grasshopper Meal

### Introduction

Turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*) is one of the poultry birds whose potentials as an alternative source of animal proteins have not been effectively exploited in Nigeria. Turkey has been around for a long time, its domestication dates thousands of years. It is eaten in the course of large feast during Christmas as well as other religious activities, yet, turkey production is limited by cost of feed and poor growth relative to local chicken (HTRT, 2009). Turkey feeding was based on rations with higher crude protein content compared to that fed to local chicken. The addition of a protein rich concentrate such as fishmeal is common to raise the level of protein in poultry diet. Turkey of ten weeks age requires a ration containing about 23% crude protein (Payne, 1990).

Grasshopper (*Zonocerus variegates*) is a major pest of food and tree crops and it is common to Sudan and Sahel savannahs of West Africa. It feeds on plant parts especially the leaves, stem and fruits. The level of availability of grasshopper species during the rainy season signifies its potential as a source of protein in place of fishmeal. The crude protein level in dried grasshopper was reported as 64.32% (Njidda and Isidahomen, 2010). The nutritional significance of grasshopper meal in Turkey diet especially in terms of growth performance, carcass quality, Nitrogen balance, blood parameters and nutrients digestibility need to be determined (Ojebeyi *et al.*, 2007). The study was aimed to determine the effect of grasshopper meal on biochemical parameters of Turkey.

### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted at the University Farm (Poultry section) of Bayero University Kano located at Ungogo Local Government Area of Kano State. The State is located within longitude 90°31' and 130°30' North and latitude 90°31' and 90°42' East (KNARDA, 2001) and falls within Sudan savanna vegetation zone (Olofin, 1985). The area has a wet and a dry season with the wet season spanning from May to





## 44<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference – ABUJA 2019 Book of Proceedings



September and dry season coming between October to April (Olofin, 1987). The annual rainfall of the area ranged from 787mm to 960mm while temperature is between 21 and 40°C (KNARDA, 2001).

Experimental diets were formulated such that grasshopper meal was included at 0% (control), 2.25%, 4.50%, 6.75% and 9.00% levels for diets T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5 respectively, as shown in Table 1 The ingredients for formulating the feed were acquired from Abubakar Rimi Market in Kano Metropolis, and Sheka Market in the Suburbs of Kano Metropolis.

A total of fifty (50) local breeds of turkey birds at eight weeks age were randomly allocated into 5 treatment groups. Each treatment had ten turkeys, which was replicated with 5 turkeys per replicate. The birds were managed under deep litter system with wood shaving as litter materials. The pen was cleaned and disinfected using recommended disinfectant (7% Tar Acid Phenol and 2% Cresylic Creosote) to avoid microbial contamination. Routine management was carried out as described by Oluyemi and Roberts (2000). Experimental feed and fresh clean water were provided *ad-libitum*. The feeding trial lasted for ten weeks.

At the end of the trial, two birds per replication were randomly selected, 6 ml of blood was collected from each bird via the wing vein using sterilized syringes and needles; 2 ml was transferred into an Ethelyne Diamine Tetra Acetic Acid (EDTA) container for haematological parameters analyses while the remaining 4 ml was transferred into Lithium Heparin containers for biochemical analyses. The blood samples for the biochemical analyses were arranged in sample trays at right angle and allowed to sediment for 2 hours. Automated pipettes and tips were used to collect the already separated sera and transferred into a microtube for biochemical analysis using Automated Chemistry Analyser (Selectra Junior Pro-S). Parameters determined included urea (mmol/L) cholesterol (mmol/L), glucose (mmol/L) total protein (g/dl), globulin (mmol/L), creatinine (mg/dl) and albumin (g/dl).

Data collected were subjected to one way analysis of variance using the SAS system (1999). Least significant difference test was used to determine difference among means of the treatment as described by Steel and Torrie (1980).

Table 1: Ingredient Composition of the Experimental Diet

Ingredients					
	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5
White Maize	60.00	60.00	60.00	60.00	60.00
Wheat Offal	12.65	12.55	12.50	12.45	12.40
Grasshopper Meal	0.00	2.25	4.50	6.75	9.00
Groundnut Cake	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
Bone Meal	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Fish Meal	9.00	6.75	4.50	2.25	0.00
Common Salt	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
*Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Palm Oil	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

\* Premix=Vitamin A 12,000,000 I.U; Vitamin  $D_3$  3,000,000 I.U; Vitamin E 30,000mg; Vitamin  $E_3$  2,500mg; Folic acid 1,000mg; Niacin 40,000mg; Calpan 5,000mg; Vitamin  $E_2$  5,000mg; Vitamin  $E_3$  5,000mg; Vitamin  $E_4$  20mg; Vitamin  $E_5$  5,000mg; Vitamin  $E_6$  3,500mg; Biotin 80mg; Antioxidant125,000mg; Cobalt 250mg; Selenium 250mg; Iodine 1,2000mg; Iron 40,000mg; Manganese 70,000mg; Copper 8,000mg; Zinc 60,000mg; Choline Chloride 200,000mg

Table 2 shows the result of blood chemistry of turkey fed graded level of grasshopper in replacement for fishmeal.



### NIGERIAN SOCIETY FOR ANIMAL PRODUCTION

## 44<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference – ABUJA 2019 Book of Proceedings



Table 2: Blood chemistry of turkey fed graded levels of grasshopper meal in replacement of Fishmeal

Parameter Treatment					
T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	LSD
Urea (mmol/L) 3.0	00 <sup>ab</sup> 2.95 <sup>ab</sup>	3.08 a	3.00 <sup>ab</sup>	2.90 <sup>b</sup>	0.17*
Cholesterol (mmol/L) 3.4	43 <sup>a</sup> 3.30 <sup>ab</sup>	3.20 <sup>b</sup>	3.20 <sup>b</sup>	$3.40^{a}$	0.22*
Glucose (mmol/L) 1.2	1.38	1.28	1.28	1.40	0.19 <sup>ns</sup>
Total protein (g/dL) 33.	32.25	32.75	33.25	33.00	1.31 <sup>ns</sup>
Globulin (g/L) 9.7	75 <sup>a</sup> 8.75 <sup>b</sup>	9.50 <sup>ab</sup>	9.00 <sup>ab</sup>	9.75 <sup>a</sup>	0.89*
Creatinine (mmol/L) 32.	34.75 <sup>ab</sup>	35.25 <sup>ab</sup>	36.25 <sup>a</sup>	36.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.79*
Albumin (g/L) 23.	24.00	23.50	23.00	23.50	1.50 <sup>ns</sup>

### **Results and Discussion**

The result showed a significant difference due to treatments on urea, cholesterol, globulin and creatinine. Non-significant difference was recorded on glucose, total protein and albumin. The urea levels recorded on T1 (3.00), T2 (2.95), T3 (3.08) and T4 (3.00) were statistically (P<0.05) higher than values recorded on treatment T5 (2.90). The cholesterol contents of T1 (3.43) and T5 (3.40) were similar and significantly (P<0.05) higher than other treatments (T2, T3 and T4). The globulin contents of T1 (9.75) and T5 (9.75) were similar and significantly (P<0.05) higher compared to T2 (8.75) which was the least. The result for creatinine indicated (P<0.05) higher values in T4 (36.25) and T5 (36.00) compared to T1 (32.50).

The serum biochemical indices observed were all within normal range as reported by Dukes (1975). Creatinine content has been shown to depend upon quantity and quality of feed and environmental temperature (Ewuola *et al.*, 2004). The lower values of urea obtained support the quality of the feed. According to Iyayi and Tewe (1998) blood urea level depend on both the quality and quantity of the protein supplied in the diet and higher level of urea in the blood could be attributed to the presence of some antinutritional factors which might have lowered the quantity of the protein indicating imbalance of amino acid in the diet and caused elevated blood urea concentration.

Globulin and albumin are important component of blood plasma (Guache *et al.*, 1991). The values of Albumin, Globulin and Total Protein in this research agree with the findings of Al-Homidan (2005), Ademola *et al.* (2009), Nweze and Ekwe (2012) and Wafaa *et al.*, (2012). Total Protein, Albumin and Globulin have been reported to be directly responsible to protein intake and quality (Onifade *et al.*, 1999).

### Conclusion

Grasshopper meal can be used to replace fishmeal in the diet of turkey without any adverse effect on the health of Turkey.

## Recommendation

Similar experiment should be conducted for turkey of age 0-8weeks.

#### References

Ademola, S.G., G. Farinu and G.M. Babatunde (2009). Serum Lipid, Growth and Haematological Parameters of Broilers fed Garlic, Ginger and their Mixtures. *World Journal of Agricultural Science*, 5:99-104.

Al-Homidan, A.A. (2005). Efficacy of Using Different Sources and Levels of *Allium sativum* and *Zingiber officinale* on Broiler Chicks' Performance. *Saudi Journal of Biological Science*, 12:96-102.

Dukes, H.H. (1975). Physiology of Domestic Animal, 18th Edn. 2nd Printing. (Melvin J Swenson Edition) Cornell University Press Limited. U.K. 1288pp.

### NIGERIAN SOCIETY FOR ANIMAL PRODUCTION



## 44<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference - ABUJA 2019



- Book of Proceedings

  A Cibore F.A. Adebunmi A.I. Akinii R.A. Ogunlade I.T. and Adeneve I.
- Ewuola, E.O., Falayan, O.A., Cibore, F.A., Adebunmi, A.I, Akinji R.A., Ogunlade, J.T. and Adeneye, J.A. (2004). Physiological response of growing West African Dwarf goats fed groundnut shell-based diets as concentrate supplements. *Bowen Journal of Agriculture*, 1 (1): 61-69.
  - Guache, P., Lemoullac, B., Bleiberg-Daniel, F., Aubert, R. and Flament, C. (1991). Changes in Rat Plasma Apoliopoproteins and Lipoprotein during Moderate Protein Deficiency: Potential Use in the Assessment of Nutritional Status. *Journal of Nutrition*. 121(5): 653-662.
  - HTRT, (2009). How to raise turkey; an essential guide for beginners in raising turkeys, www.howtoraiseturkey.com. Retrieved on 15/3/2010.
  - Iyayi, E.A. and Tewe, O.O. (1998). Serum Total Protein, Urea and Creatinine Levels as Indices of Quality of Cassava Diets for Pigs. *Tropical Veterinary*, 36:59-67.
  - KNARDA (2001). Kano Agricultural and Rural Development Authority. Meteorological Stations Report and Temperature Record Book 11:pp1-3.
  - Njidda, A.A. and Isidahomen, C.E. (2010). Hematology, Blood Chemistry and Carcass Characteristics of Growing Rabbits fed Grasshopper Meal as a substitute for Fish Meal, Pakistani Veterinary Journal 30(1):7-12.
- Nweze, B.O. and Ekwe, O.O. (2012). Growth Performance, Gut and Haemo-Microbial Study of Finishing Broilers fed African Sweet Basil. *Ozean Journal of Applied Sciences*, 5(2):185-191.
  - Ojebeyi, O.O., Farimu, G.O., Babatunde, G.M. and Aderinola, O.A. (2007). Evaluation of the Nutritive Potential of Cassava Peels Blood Meal Mixture on the Performance Characteristics of Female Growing Rabbits in the Derived Savannah Zone of Nigeria. Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> World Rabbit Congress, June 10-13 Verona –Italy, pp 769-774.
  - Olofin, E.A. (1985). Human Responses to the Animal Environment on Kano Region. Ahmadu Bello University Press, Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria P6.
  - Olofin, E.A. (1987). Some Aspect of the Physical Geography of the Northern Region and Related Human Responses. Bayero university, Kano press, p-4.
- Oluyemi, J.A. and F.A. Roberts (2000). Feeds and Feeding, Poultry Production in Warm Climate (Low Cost Edition). Macmillian Publishers Ltd. London. Pp. 125-145.
- Onifade, A.A., Odunsi, A.A., Babatunde, G.M., Olorede, B. R. and Muma, E. (1999). Comparison of the Supplemental Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and Antibiotics in Low Protein and High-Fibre Diets fed to Broiler Chickens. *Archiv Tierernaehrung*, 52:29-39.
- Payne, W.J.A. (1990). An Introduction to Animal Husbandry in the Tropics. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Longman Scientific and Technical, Essex and New York. Pp. 744.
- SAS institute (1999). SAS/STAT user's guide version 6.5<sup>th</sup> edition. SAS institute in corperated Cary, N.C. Steel, R.D. and Torrie T.H. (1980), Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A biometric application 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Mc Graw Hill books co. New york, U.S.A.
- Wafaa, B.Z., Khadiga, A.A., Bakheit, M.D. and Ahmed, G.M. (2012). The Effect of Ginger Root Powder (*Zingiber officinale*) Supplementation on Broiler Chicks Performance, Blood and Serum Constituents. *Journal of Animal Feed Resources*, 1(6):457-460.