

NUTRITIONAL VALUE AND PREFERENCE OF SELECTED BROWSE PLANTS OF THE HUMID ZONE BY WEST AFRICAN DWARF GOATS

M.C. Ayo-Enwerem¹, R.E. Uwalaka², E.O. Ahaotu¹ and L.L. Mene¹

¹Department of Animal Production and Health Technology, Imo State Polytechnic, Umuagwo Nigeria

²Department of Forestry and Environmental Management Technology, Imo State Polytechnic Umuagwo Nigeria

Corresponding author email: chiblinkx@yahoo.com

Abstract

About 500 g each of fresh leaves and apical growth of 11 browse species: *Albizia zygia*, *Alchornea cordifolia*, *Dialium guineense*, *Gliricidia sepium*, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Macaranga barterii*, *Newbouldea laevis*, *Palisota hirsuta*, *Sarcocephalus latifolius*, *Spondias mombin* and *Vernonia amygdalina* were used for the study. The fodder species were placed in plastic feeding troughs, replicated three times and randomly placed in three locations around the perimeter of the paddock. Animals were exposed to the fodder for 4h/d 12d. Number of visits, number of bites per visit and time spent eating the browses were measured with stop watches. Dried samples of test species were ground through 1mm screen and used for chemical analyses. The range in CP, tannin, NDF and ADF were 170.0g kg⁻¹DM - 334.4g kg⁻¹DM, 0.05g kg⁻¹DM - 8.1g kg⁻¹DM, 315.3gkg⁻¹DM - 664.0gkg⁻¹DM and 212.8gkg⁻¹DM - 442.0gkg⁻¹DM respectively. Number of visits, number of bites, time spent per visit and coefficient of preference ranged from 0.0 - 12.2, 0.0 - 113.9, 0.0 - 27.7sec and 0.0 - 0.48 respectively. From the results, goats preferred the browse species in the following order: *L. leucocephala* > *A. cordifolia* > *S. mombin* > *S. latifolius* > *A. zygia* > *D. guineense* > *P. hirsuta* > *M. barterii* > *G. sepium*, *N. laevis* and *V. amygdalina*.

Key words: WAD goats, Browse plants, Preference, Nutritional value.

Introduction

Inadequate quantity and quality of feed especially in the dry season has been known to be largely responsible for the major limitation in livestock productivity in the tropics. These browse plant species have high potentials as important feed resources for ruminants since they remain green all year round, thus constituting a ready source of feed during off season feeding (Oji *et al.*, 2007). Several indigenous and exotic browse species have been investigated for inclusion in ruminant feeding systems in Nigeria (Fadiyimu *et al.*, 2011). Nutrient composition of some indigenous browse species of Southern Nigeria has been characterized (Oji and Isilebo 2000; Okoli *et al.*, 2001). Unfortunately, the major challenge to the use of browse plants as alternative feed resources to livestock is the presence of anti-nutritional factors (Olafadehan, 2011).

Overcoming the constraints of inadequate knowledge of the nutritive value and productivity of most browse species would ensure maximization of the use of these multipurpose trees and shrubs by livestock. The present study therefore examines the nutritional value of and preference for browse species by WAD goats.

Materials and Methods

Fresh leaves and apical parts of 11 browse species: *Albizia zygia* (DC) J.F. Machr, *Alchornea*

cordifolia (Mull. Arg), *Dialium guineense* Wild, *Gliricidia sepium* (Jacq.) Walp, *Leucaena leucocephala* (Lam), de wit, *Macaranga barterii* (Mull. Arg), *Newbouldea laevis* (P. Beauv), *Palisota hirsuta* (Thunb) K. Schum, *Sarcocephalus latifolius* (Smith) Bruce, *Spondias mombin* (L.) and *Vernonia amygdalina* (Del.) were harvested from their natural habitat. The browse species were fed to five West African Dwarf goats to determine their preference using the cafeteria method (Larbi *et al.*, 1993). Animals' behavioural pattern and dry matter intake were determined by the method described by Mtenga *et al.* (1992). Animals were kept in an open paddock (25 m x 25 m) with a two weeks regrowth of *Panicum maximum*. About 500 g of each fodder specie were offered to the goats in plastic troughs 30 cm in diameter, in three replicates randomly placed in three locations. The test feed placement were randomized every day to avoid "habit reflex" (Kaitho *et al.*, 1996). The animals were exposed to the fodder for four hours each day for 12 days with a 7-d adjustment and 5-d collection periods. The coefficient of preference (COP) of each browse specie was determined by the procedures of Karbo *et al.* (1996). Number of visits, number of bites per visit and time spent per visit eating the species were recorded with stop watches'. Grab samples of fresh leaves offered and refusals during the 5-d collection period were weighed and dried at 60°C

for 48 h in a Gallenkamp moisture extraction oven for dry matter determination. The dried samples were ground in a hammer mill (Christy and Norris laboratory Mill) through a 1 mm screen and stored in polythene bags for chemical analyses.

Proximate composition of samples was determined by the AOAC (1990) methods. Neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) were analyzed by the method of Goering and Van Soest (1970) while tannin was assayed by the procedures of Ogbai and Berhan (1997). Data on browse preference were analyzed as a split-plot design.

Result and Discussion

The chemical composition of the selected browse species is presented in Table 1. Crude protein (CP) ranged from 163.8g kg⁻¹DM in *M. barterii* to 334.4g kg⁻¹DM in *G. sepium*. Significant differences (P<0.05) were observed within nutrients and between species. There were fairly high values of ash, CF, NDF, ADF and ADL in the species studied but tannin concentrations were relatively low except for *A. zygia* and *P. hirsuta*.

The CP content of the browse species were within the range in values of 136.5 to 258g kg⁻¹ DM given by Okoli *et al.* (2003) except for *A. zygia* and *L. leucocephala* with higher values. The low NDF, ADF and ADL values of *G. sepium* could be responsible for the high CP values of this leguminous browse specie. Significant variations in CP values have been identified by Reddy (2006). The NDF and ADF values were within the range reported by Okoli *et al.* (2003) except for *A. zygia*. The relatively high tannin content, high NDF and ADF values of *A. zygia* could be responsible for the relatively low dry matter intake of this specie and less acceptance by the goats (Kalio *et al.*, 2006). Tannin content was significantly least (P<0.05) in *G. sepium* (0.05g kg⁻¹ Dm) the variations in DM content of the browse species could be attributed to stage of growth (Oji *et al.*, 2003).

The mean values for the preference parameters are shown in Table 2. Dry matter intake of the browses ranged from 0.0g d⁻¹ in *V. amygdalina* and *G. sepium* to 308.7g d⁻¹ in *L. leucocephala*. Number of bites, number of visit and time spent were significantly (P<0.05) lowest in these species. *Gliricidia sepium* was totally rejected by goats in this study but the work of Wahua and Oji (1987) showed a wide acceptance. An animal may find a specific feed acceptable or unacceptable (Marten, 1978). There were significant variations (P<0.05) also among species in number of bites per visit,

time spent and feed dry matter intake. High intake was as a result of longer time spent eating the browse. Intake of green fodder are affected by plant species, stage of maturity, level of phytochemicals among others (Kalio *et al.*, 2006). Coefficient of preference (COP) was used to rank the species in order of preference: *L. leucocephala* > *A. cordifolia* > *S. mombin* > *S. latifolius* > *A. zygia* > *D. guineense* > *P. hirsuta* > *M. barterii* > *G. sepium*, *N. laevis*, *V. amygdalina*.

Conclusion

The browse species were found to have appreciable level of crude protein and may serve as valuable supplements to low quality diets especially in off season feeding.

Acknowledgement

Sincere appreciation goes to the Rector, Imo State Polytechnic Umuagwo, Rev. Fr. Dr. Wence Madu for his financial support and encouragement.

References

- AOAC (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. *Official Methods of Analysis*. 15th edition, Washington DC. pp 1298.
- Fadiyimu, A.A, Fajemisin, A.N and Alokun J.A (2011) Chemical composition of selected browse plants and their acceptability by West Africa Dwarf sheep. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 23(12).
- Goering, H.K and Van Soest, P.J. (1970), *Fibre Analysis; Apparatus; Reagents, Procedures and Some Applications*. Agricultural Handbook 379. Agricultural Research Services, USDA, Washington, D.C.
- Kaitho, R.J, Umunna, N.N, Nsahlai I.V, Tamminga, S, Vanbruchem, J and Vandwouw, M. (1996). Palatability of multipurpose tree species: effect of species and length of study on intake and relative palatability by sheep. *Agrofor. Syst.* 33:249-261.
- Kalio, G.A, Oji, U.I and Larbi, A (2006). Preference and palatability of indigenous and exotic acid soil -tolerant multipurpose trees and shrubs by West African Dwarf sheep. *Agrofor. Syst.* 67: 123-128.
- Karbo, N.P, Barnes, P and Rudat, H (1996). An evaluation of browse forage preference by sheep and goats in the Northern Guinea Savannah zone, Ghana. In: J. Ndikumana

- and P.N Deleeuw. (Eds) Sustainable Feed Production and Utilization for Small holder Livestock Enterprises in Sub-saharan Africa. Proc. Sub-saharan Afr, Feed Resources Network, Harare Zimbabwe, 6-10 Dec. 1993. AFRNET (African Feed Resource Network) Nairobi, Kenya. 201pp.
- Larbi, A, Jabbar M.A Orok, E.J, Idiong, E and Cobbina, J. (1993).** *Alchornea Cordifolia*, a promising indigenous browse species adapted to acid soils in South Eastern Nigeria for integrated crop-livestock systems. *Agrofor. Syst.* 22: 33-41.
- Larbi, A, Anyanwu, N.J, Oji, U.I, Etela, I. Gbaraneh I.D and Ladipo, D.O (2005).** Fodder yield and nutritive value of browse species in West African humid tropics: response to age of coppice regrowth. *Agrofor. Syst.* 65: 197-205.
- Marten, G.C (1978).** The animal plant complex in forage palatability phenomena. *J. Anim. Sci*-46 (5):1470-1477.
- Ogbai, M and Berhan, N.J (1997)** Analytical Methods for Feeds, Animal Excrements and Animal Tissues. ILRI Nutrition laboratory, Adidis Ababa, pp90.
- Oji, U.I and Isilebo, J.O (2000).** Nutrient characterization of selected browse plants of the humid tropics. In: Proc 27th Annual Conf. Nig. Soc. Anim. Prod. 18th -21st March 2000. Umudike, Nigeria. pp 54-56.
- Oji, U.I, Dabibi, VI and Okeke, G.C (2003).** Chemical composition and *in situ* dry matter digestibility of selected multipurpose trees and shrubs. *Trop. J. Anim. Sci* 6 (1): 95-100.
- Oji, U.I, Ayo- Enwerem, M.C, Isilebo, J.O. and Etela .I. (2007).** Nutritional potentials of some trees and shrubs of the humid forest as ruminant feed. Proc.32nd Annual Conf. Nig. Soc. Anim. Prod. Calabar, March 18th -21st 2007.
- Okoli, I.C, Ebere, C.S, Emenalom, O.O, Uchegbu, M.C and Esonu, B.O (2001).** Indigenous livestock paradigms revisited. An assessment of the proximate value of most preferred indigenous browses of South eastern Nigeria. *Trop. Anim. Prod. Investigation* 4: (2) 99-107.
- Okoli, I.C, Anunobi M.O, Obua, B.E and Enemuo, V (2003).** Studies on selected browses of South eastern Nigeria with particular reference to their proximate and some indigenous anti-nutritional constituents. *Livestock Res. Rural Dev.* (15)9:3-7.
- Olafadehan, O.A. (2011).** Changes in hematological and biochemical indices of Red Sokoto goats fed tannin -rich *Pterocarpus erinaceus* forage diets. *Veterinarski arhiv* 81 (4): 471-483
- Reddy, D.V. (2006).** Fodder production and grassland management for veterinarians. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt Ltd. New Delhi

Table 1. Chemical composition of the browse species fed to the WAD goats

Browse Species	Parameters, gkg ⁻¹ DM									
	Dry matter	Crude protein	Crude Fibre	Ether Extract	Ash	NFE	Tannin	NDF	ADF	ADL
<i>A. zygia</i>	963.8 ^b	321.9 ^a	370.3 ^a	25.4 ^d	25.0 ^d	221.2 ^c	8.1 ^a	664.0 ^a	422.8 ^a	103.6 ^b
<i>A. cordifolia</i>	951.7 ^c	195.0 ^c	76.2 ^c	26.1 ^{cd}	37.8 ^{cd}	516.5 ^a	0.63 ^{cd}	412.9 ^c	337.0 ^{ab}	66.1 ^c
<i>D. guineense</i>	968.6 ^a	170.0 ^{cd}	375.3 ^a	23.4 ^e	26.5 ^d	373.4 ^{bc}	1.8 ^{bc}	556.1 ^{ab}	442.0 ^a	116.5 ^{ab}
<i>G. sepium</i>	926.2 ^{cd}	334.3 ^a	140.8 ^{cd}	56.5 ^d	26.5 ^d	349.0 ^{bc}	0.05 ^e	352.2 ^e	80.3 ^d	47.4 ^{cd}
<i>L. leucocephala</i>	950.1 ^c	313.1 ^{ab}	160.4 ^c	70.6 ^a	56.6 ^b	349.4 ^{bc}	2.1 ^{bc}	380.0 ^{cd}	212.8 ^c	61.2 ^c
<i>M. barterii</i>	954.6 ^c	163.8 ^d	259.9 ^b	39.5 ^c	76.1 ^a	415.3 ^b	1.5 ^{bc}	399.0 ^c	311.3 ^{ab}	77.8 ^{bc}
<i>N. laevis</i>	969.8 ^a	206.3 ^c	289.9 ^b	68.1 ^a	37.1 ^{cd}	317.2 ^{bc}	2.6 ^{bc}	546.2 ^{ab}	414.6 ^a	121.5 ^{ab}
<i>S. latifolius</i>	961.6 ^b	186.3 ^c	173.0 ^c	50.9 ^{bc}	44.2 ^c	507.2 ^a	4.5 ^b	415.4 ^c	270.4 ^b	147.1 ^a
<i>S. mombin</i>	950.6 ^c	228.1 ^{bc}	102.6 ^d	27.4 ^{cd}	80.6 ^a	512.3 ^a	1.3 ^{cd}	315.3 ^d	215.6 ^c	81.9 ^{bc}
<i>V. amygdalina</i>	952.5 ^c	245.6 ^{bc}	203.3 ^{bc}	59.1 ^b	45.6 ^c	393.9 ^b	2.7 ^{bc}	497.9 ^b	340.5 ^{ab}	66.0 ^c
Mean	969.5 ^a	291.3 ^b	-	29.3 ^{cd}	52.7 ^b	-	0.4 ^d	360.9 ^{cd}	277.0 ^b	74.5 ^{bc}
SEM	956.2	241.4	225.3	43.3	46.2	402	2.3	445.0	307.1	86.7
SEM	3.8	19.1	29.8	5.4	5.7	28.8	0.6	32.2	32.4	9.4

NFE: Nitrogen free extract, NDF: Neutral detergent fibre ADF: Acid detergent fibre, ADL: Acid detergent lignin

^{a,b,c,d,e} Means within same column with unlike superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 2. Mean values of the preference parameters of the browse species by WAD goats

Browse species	Parameters				
	FDMINT (gd ⁻¹)	NOVIT	NOBIT	TPERVIT (sec)	COP
<i>A. zygia</i>	128.8 ^c	6.8 ^{cd}	98.6 ^a	115.4 ^{cd}	0.18
<i>A. cordifolia</i>	262.6 ^b	8.5 ^{bc}	92.4 ^{ab}	270.7 ^a	0.40
<i>D. guineense</i>	85.9 ^d	7.8 ^{cd}	74.6 ^{bc}	197.2 ^b	0.12
<i>G. sepium</i>	0.0 ^e	0.0 ^e	0.0 ^e	0.0 ^f	0.00
<i>L. leucocephala</i>	308.7 ^a	9.0 ^{bc}	94.8 ^{ab}	217.1 ^{ab}	0.48
<i>M. barterii</i>	77.7 ^d	9.5 ^{bc}	57.6 ^c	77.8 ^{de}	0.05
<i>N. laevis</i>	4.6 ^e	1.3 ^e	12.0 ^d	13.2 ^{ef}	0.00
<i>P. hirsuta</i>	54.7 ^d	5.2 ^d	53.1 ^c	69.9 ^{de}	0.08
<i>S. latifolius</i>	135.0 ^c	12.1 ^a	95.7 ^{ab}	84.7 ^{cd}	0.02
<i>S. mombin</i>	245.1 ^b	11.1 ^a	113.9 ^a	150.2 ^{bc}	0.38
<i>V. amygdalina</i>	0.0 ^e	0.3 ^e	1.8 ^e	1.4 ^f	0.00
Mean	118.4	6.5	63.1	108.8	
SEM	11.3	2.5	7.9	50.6	

FDMINT: Feed dry matter intake NOVIT: Number of visits NOBIT: Number of bites
TPERVIT: Time spent per visit COP: Coefficient of preference

^{a,b,c,d,e,f} Means within same column with unlike superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)