

PROCESSING OF CROP RESIDUES AS FEED RESOURCE FOR RUMINANTS. A REVIEW

*Ogu, Ijeoma Elizabeth

College of Animal Science, Joseph Sarwua Tarka University Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author: Oguijeoma2018@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Crop residues and their biomass are generated as by-products of crop cultivation. Its availability is linked to factors that affect crop production. They are characterized as wastes and have low nutritive content when used as feed in livestock production. On-farm strategies have been developed to maximize the potential of crop residues in livestock production. Due to the characteristic low nutrient content of crop residues which impeded their efficiency in livestock production, processing of these crop residues will enhance nutrient content, digestibility, minimize feed wastage, maximize profitability through efficient feed processing and reduce the moisture content of feedstuffs, thereby, improving the general well-being of these animals. Improving the nutrient content of crop residues and their digestibility can be achieved through different processing methods such as physical (grinding and chopping amongst others), chemical treatments (alkaline treatments using sodium hydroxide, sulfur dioxide, urea or chlorine) and biological approaches (fungal treatments, inoculants, enzymes or alternative additives) either individually or in combination. It is concluded that processed crop residues are ideal feed ingredients in ruminant production.

Keywords: Ruminants, Crop residues, Processing

INTRODUCTION

Ruminants serve as a crucial element of security for numerous small-holder farmers in developing countries (Owen *et al.*, 2004, 2005) and are often utilized as indicators of wealth. They are particularly beneficial in converting abundant renewable resources from rangeland, pasture, crop residues, and other by-products into food that is suitable for human consumption. Huge quantities of crop residues and biomass are generated as by-products of crop cultivation accounting for approximately one-third of the global agricultural production (FAO, 2011). **Crop residues** are materials left on cultivated land after the crop has been harvested, while, (Mottet *et al.*, 2017; Shunlin and Jindi 2020), such as leaves, tubers, stems, stover, straws, and seed pods. They comprise cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, protein, and soluble sugar (Fu *et al.*, 2021). Its utilization as animal feed has been a focal point of extensive global research and development endeavours. Meanwhile, Kubkomawa *et al.* (2015) asserted in their analysis that crop residues stand out as a remarkable feed supplement for livestock in Nigeria. Consequently, the conversion of crop residues into ingredients for animal feed has been regarded to be an efficient tool that may reduce food-feed competition, decrease animal feed costs, alleviate the environmental impacts of livestock production, and diminish the dependence on grains widely used in human consumption (De Carvalho *et al.*, 2017; Roos *et al.*, 2016; Ottoboni *et al.*, 2019; Gasco *et al.*, 2020; Parisi *et al.*, 2020; Pinotti *et al.*, 2020). However, the quality of these residues is poor, because most of the crop residues are low in nutrients and high in fibre content (Akinlade *et al.*, 2005). Alebel *et al.*, (2019) stated that treated crop residues have the advantage of efficient utilization as their nutrient quality is improved compared to general roughages.

Processing Methods Used to Improve the Quality of Crop Residues

Feed processing typically involves modifying the physical and chemical characteristics of feed commodities to optimize their utilization by animals and improve the quality, mixing, and stability of the diet. These operations aim to eliminate anti-nutritional components and toxins, enhance palatability, digestibility, and nutrient availability, adjust feed particle size for specific species and sizes, minimize feed wastage, maximize profitability through efficient feed processing, and reduce the moisture content of feedstuffs to 10 % or less (Golez, 2002). Researchers have processed crop residues in different methods, concluding that such processing methods improve poor quality, nutrient content, and digestibility. (Vadiveloo *et al.*, 2009; Sarnklong *et al.*, 2010; Akinfemi and Ladipo, 2011; Abdel-Azim *et al.*, 2011; Safa *et al.*, 2011; Salman *et al.*, 2011; Gado, 2012; Huyen *et al.*, 2012; Mustabi *et al.*, 2013; Khan *et al.*, 2016; Tekliye *et al.*, 2018; Asmare, 2020; Koc *et al.*, 2021; Okyucu and Esen, 2022; Ikyume *et al.*, 2023). These processing methods include;

a) Physical treatment

This includes chopping, grinding, milling, pelleting and haymaking and it aims to reduce the particle size of residues and increase the surface area exposed to digestive enzymes in the animal's body.

b) Chemical treatment

Chemical processing effectively reduces starch degradation in ruminant feeds, potentially enhancing their utilization by ruminants (Rahmadani *et al.*, 2023) and this includes;

- Urea Treatment

Ngele (2008) documented a surge in crude protein content from 4.4 % in untreated rice straw to 12.4 % in rice straw treated with 4 % urea. Despite the straightforward nature of the procedure that allows for easy adoption by farmers, caution should be exercised to prevent instances of urea poisoning. Lukuyu *et al.* (2021).

- Soaking with or without salting

Chopped straw could potentially be soaked with either plain water or a diluted solution of salt or molasses before being provided as feed. According to findings from farmers who have implemented this practice, it has been shown to result in heightened consumption of feed (Lukuyu *et al.*, 2016).

- Supplementation with additives

The incorporation of urea, molasses, yeast, minerals, alkaline compounds, organic acids and salt into the feed mixture offers the dual benefit of providing energy and nitrogen to the microorganisms present in the rumen, thereby enhancing the digestion of crop residues. Among the organic acids additives, formic acid and propionic acid preparations have been extensively used in silage fermentation and/or preservation of livestock diets (Dias *et al.*, 2021; Gheller *et al.*, 2020; Jiang *et al.*, 2020). The addition of calcium carbonate can be used to adjust silage acidity (Yitbarek and Tamir, 2014).

c) **Biological treatments**

Biological treatments offer better substrate and response specificity, lower energy requirements, reduced pollution generation, and increased yield of desired products (Fadel and El-Ghonemy, 2015) and they include;

- Fungi treatment

This process increases the digestibility of nutrients, increases crude protein content, and provides more fermentable substrates in the rumen (Khattab *et al.*, 2013; Asmare, 2020; Suryadi *et al.*, 2022).

- Enzymes

Enzymatic treatments can improve the chemical composition of crop residues, increase the digestibility of nutrients, and improve animal performance (Gado *et al.*, 2013)

- Bacterial cultures

The addition of lactic acid bacterial cultures during filling-up of silage increases their population rapidly, encouraging lactic acid fermentation and pH reduction to a level that inhibits *clostridial* development (Shah *et al.*, 2017, 2018; Muck *et al.*, 2018; Kim *et al.*, 2021).

- Fermentation process

The fermentation process is called ensilage, ensiling, or silaging (Oude Elferink *et al.*, 2000). Haylage is a feed for livestock made from perennial forages (grasses and legumes) that would otherwise be used for hay. Haylage is a higher-moisture, fermented feed of perennial forages very similar to silage (Costa *et al.*, 2018).

CONCLUSION

Processing of crop residues using different methods or in combination has been established to improve its quality thereby making it an adequate feed ingredient that will fit in the scarcity of feed for ruminants and avoid tasks of eliminating them as wastes.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Azim, S. N., Ahmed, M. A., Abo-Donia, F. and Soliman, H. (2011). Evaluation of fungal treatment of some agricultural residues. *Egyptian Journal of Sheep and Goats Science*, **6**(2):1–16.
- Akinfemi, A. and Ladipo, M. K. (2011). Effect of fungal treated maize cob on the performance of West African dwarf rams. Proceedings of the conference on international research on food security, natural resource management and rural development, October 5-7, 2011, University of Bonn. **13**: 4221-4231.
- Akinlade, J. A., Smith, J. W., Raji, A. M., Busari, A. A., Adekunle, I. O. and Adewumi, M. K. (2005). Effect of Two Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) Fodder Cultivars as Supplements on Voluntary Intake, Milk Yield and Manure Production of Bunaji Cows, *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics*, **106**(2):105–112.
- Alebel, M. G., Urge, M., Assefa, G., Worku, B. and Abebe, A. (2019). The effect of using soyabean and groundnut straw as part of basal diet on body weight gain and carcass characteristics of gumuz sheep. *International Journal of Livestock Production*, **103**(3):70-76.
- Asmare, B. (2020). Biological treatment of crop residues as an option for feed improvement in the tropics: A review. *Journal of Animal Husbandry, Dairy and Veterinary Science*, **4**:1-6.
- Costa, M., Rezende, A. S. C., Fonseca, M., Lage, J., Guimarães Pimentel, P., Mizubuti, I., Freitas, G., Rocha Moreira, G., Lana, Â. and Saliba, E. (2018). Fermentation pattern of tropical grass haylage and digestibility compared to hay in equine diet. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*. **39**:2125-2132.
- De Carvalho, G. G. P., Rebouças, R. A., Campos, F. S., Santos, E. M., Araújo, G. G. L., Gois, G. C., de Oliveira, J. S., Oliveira, R. L., Rufino L. D. A., Azevedo J. A. G. and Cirne L. G. A. (2017) Intake, digestibility,

- performance, and feeding behaviour of lambs fed diets containing silages of different tropical forage species. *Animal, Feed Science and Technology*, **228**:140–148.
- Dias, M. S., Ghizzi, L. G., Marques, J. A., Nunes, A. T., Grigoletto, N. T. S., Gheller, L. S., Silva, T. B. P., Silva, G. G., Lobato, D. N., Costa e Silva, L. F. and Rennó, F. P. (2021). Effects of organic acids in total mixed ration and feeding frequency on productive performance of dairy cows, *Journal of Dairy Science*, **104**(5):5405-5416.
- FAO (2011). Successes and failures with animal nutrition practices and technologies in developing countries. Proceedings of the FAO Electronic Conference, 1-30 September 2010, Rome, Italy. Edited by Harinder PS Makkar. FAO Animal Production and Health Proceedings. No. 11. Rome, Italy.
- Fu, B., Chen, L., Huang, H., Qu, L. and Wei, Z. (2021) Impacts of crop residues on soil health: a review, *Environmental Pollutants and Bioavailability*, **33**(1):164-173.
- Gado, H. M. (2012). Effect of the anaerobic enzymes on rice straw as animal feed. *International Workshop on the Strategies of Animal Production*. Alexandria University Egypt; Egypt:
- Gado, H. M., Salem, A. Z. M., Camacho, L. M., Elghandour, M. M. Y. and Salazar, M. C. (2013). Influence of exogenous enzyme on in vitro ruminal degradation of ensiled rice straw with DDGS. *Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology*, **13**:569-574.
- Gasco L., Biancarosa I. and Liland, N. S. (2020). From waste to feed: A review of recent knowledge on insects as producers of protein and fat for animal feeds. *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, **23**: 67-7
- Gheller, L. S., Ghizzi, L. G., Marques, J. A., Takiya, C. S., Grigoletto, N. T. S., Dias, M. S. S., Silva, T. B. P., Nunes, A. T. da Silva, G. G., Fernandes, L. G. X., Rennó, L. N. and Rennó, F. P. (2020). Effects of organic acid-based products added to total mixed ration on performance and ruminal fermentation of dairy cows, *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, **261**:114406.
- Golez, N. V. (2002). Processing of feedstuffs and aquafeeds. In O. M. Millamena, R. M. Coloso, & F. P. Pascual (Eds.), *Nutrition in Tropical Aquaculture: Essentials of fish nutrition, feeds, and feeding of tropical aquatic species*. SEAFDEC/AQD Publications. Pp. 125-147.
- Huyen, N. T., Tuan, B. Q., Ngo, X. N., Nguyen, T. B. T. and Le, N. T. T. (2012). Effect of using fungal treated rice straw in sheep diet on nutrients digestibility and microbial protein synthesis. *Asian Journal of Animal Science*, **13**(1):1–7.
- Ikyume, T. T., Afele, T., Ewetade, R. O., Kefas, U. M., Orhungur, F. S. and Nyam, D. L. (2023). Response of Sheep to Dietary Soybean Haulms: Effect on Growth, Serum Indices and Oxidative Biomarkers Profile. *Trends Agricultural Science*, **2** (2): 153-160.
- Jiang, F., Cheng, H., Liu, D., Wei, C., An, W., Wang, Y., Sun, H. and Song, E. (2020). Treatment of Whole-Plant Corn Silage with Lactic Acid Bacteria and Organic Acid Enhances Quality by Elevating Acid Content, Reducing pH, and Inhibiting Undesirable Microorganisms. *Frontier Microbiology*. 11:593088.
- Khan, A. A., Sai, M., Rao, B., Ravi, D., Prasad, K. V. S. V., Anandan, S., Reddy, Y. R. and Blummel, M. (2016). Effect of varying the crop residue, cultivar and physical form on intake and nutrient utilization in Deccani sheep fed complete diets. *Journal of Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology*, **16**: 197-208.
- Khattab, H. M., Gado, H. M., Salem, A. Z. M., Camacho, L. M., El-Sayed, M. M., Kholif, A. M., El-Shewy, A. A. and Kholif, A. E. (2013). Chemical composition and in vitro digestibility of *Pleurotus ostreatus* spent rice straw. *Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology*, **13**(3):507–516.
- Kim, D., Lee, K. D. and Choi, K. C. (2021). Role of LAB in silage fermentation: effect on nutritional quality and organic acid production - An overview. *AIMS Agriculture and Food*, **6**: 216–234.
- Koç, F., Eser, S., Okuyucu, B. and Esen, S. (2021). Effectiveness of enzymes and inoculants on biological pretreatment of different high dry matter lignocellulosic materials. *Bioresource Technology Reports*, **16**:100836.
- Kubkomawa, I. H., Emenalom, O. O. and Okoli, I. C. (2015). Body condition score, rectal temperature respiratory, pulse and heart rates of tropical indigenous Zebu cattle: A Review. *International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research*, **4**(3):448-454.
- Lukuyu, B., Ngunga, D. and Bekunda, M. (2021). Use of crop residue as feed for ruminant livestock. A guide for smallholder farmers. www.africa-rising.net. March 2021.
- Lukuyu, M., Njehu, A., Mwilawa, A., Lukuyu, B., Omere, A. and Rao, J. (2016). A study to understand fodder markets and fodder trading patterns in MoreMilkIT sites and other selected regions in Tanzania. Nairobi, Kenya: ILRI.
- Mottet, A., de Haan, C., Falcucci, A., Tempio, G., Opio, C. and Gerber, P. (2017). Livestock: On our plates or eating at our table? A new analysis of the feed/food debate. *Global Food Security*, **14**:1-8.
- Muck, R. E., Nadeau, E. M. G., McAllister, T. A., Contreras-Govea, F. E., Santos, M. C. and Kung, L. (2018). Silage review: Recent advances and future uses of silage additives. *Journal of Dairy Science*, **101**(5):3980-4000.

- Mustabi, J., Ngitung, R., Islamiyati, R., Akhirany, N. and Natsir, A. (2013) Rice straw fermented with white rot fungi as an alternative to elephant grass in goat feeds. *Global Veterinary*, 10: 697-701.
- Ngele, M. B. (2008). Fermentation and metabolic trends in rams fed roughages with various supplements. PhD dissertation, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi-Nigeria.
- Okuyucu, B. and Esen, S. (2022). Is it possible to improve the fermentation and nutritional quality of wheat straw silage by replacing commercial inoculant with kefir? *Journal of Agriculture and Nature*, 25.
- Ottoboni, M., Tretola, M., Luciano, A., Giuberti, G., Gallo, A. and Pinotti, L. (2019). Carbohydrate digestion and predicted glycemic index of bakery/confectionary ex-food intended for pig nutrition. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 18 (1):838-849.
- Oude Elferink S., Driehuis F., Gottschal J. and Spoelstra S. F. (2000). Paper 2.0: silage fermentation processes and their manipulation. *Journal of Science, Food and Agriculture*, 17:124–127.
- Owen, E., Kitalyi, A., Jayasuriya, N. and Smith, T. (Eds.), (2005). *Livestock and Wealth Creation. Improving the Husbandry of Animals Kept by Resource-poor People in Developing Countries*. Natural Resources International Limited, Aylesford, Kent, UK, Pp. 601 (www.nrinternational.co.uk). Published by Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK.
- Owen, E., Smith, T., Steele, M. A., Anderson, S., Duncan, A. J., Herrero, M., Leaver, J. D., Reynolds, C. K., Richards, J. I. and Ku-Vera, J. C. (Eds.), (2004). *Responding to the Livestock Revolution—The Role of Globalisation and Implications for Poverty Alleviation*. British Society of Animal Science Publication Number 33. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK, Pp 370.
- Parisi, G., Tulli, F., Riccardo, F., Marino, R., Bani, P., Dalle Zotte, A., De Angelis, A., Piccolo, G., Pinotti, L., Schiavone, A., Terova, G., Prandini, A., Gasco, L., Alessandra, R. and Danieli, P. P. (2020). Protein hunger of the feed sector: the alternatives offered by the plant world. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 19:1204-1225.
- Pinotti, L., Giromini, C., Ottoboni, M., Tretola, M. and Marchis, D. (2020). Review: Insects and former foodstuffs for upgrading food waste biomasses/streams to feed ingredients for farm animals, *Animal*, 13 (7):1365-1375,
- Rahmadani, M., Susanto, I., Prasetya, R. D. D., Kondo, M., Nahrowi, N., & Jayanegara, A. (2023). Modification of dietary rumen degradable starch content by chemical processing of feed ingredients: A meta-analysis. *Animal Science Journal*, 94(1).
- Röös, E., Patel, M., Spångberg, J., Carlsson, G. and Rydhmer, L. (2016). Limiting livestock production to pasture and by-products in a search for sustainable diets. *Food Policy*, 58: 1-13.
- Safa, N. A., Mona, A. A., Abo-Donia, F. and Soliman, H. (2011). Evaluation of fungal treatment of some agricultural residues. *Egyptian Journal of Sheep and Goat Science* 6: 1-13.
- Salman, F. M., Salama, R., Khattab, A. E., Soliman, S. M. and El-Nameary, Y. A. (2011). Chemical, biological and biochemical treatments to improve the nutritive values of sugarcane bagasse (SCB): Chemical composition, scanning electron microscopy, in vitro evaluation, nutrients digestibility and nitrogen utilization of untreated or treated SCB. *Journal of Life Science*, 8(4):361–363.
- Sarnklong, C., Cone, J. W., Pellikaan, W. and Hendriks, W. H. (2010) Utilization of rice straw and different treatments to improve its feed value for ruminants: A Review. *Asian Aust J Anim Sci*. 23: 680 -692.
- Shah, A. A., Xianjun, Y., Zhihao, D., Junfeng, L. and Sao, T. (2018). Microbiological and chemical profiles of elephant grass inoculated with and without *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Pediococcus acidilactici*. *Archives of Microbiology*, 200:311–328.
- Shah, A. A., Xianjun, Y., Zhihao, D., Siran, W. and Tao, S. (2017) Effects of lactic acid bacteria on ensiling characteristics, chemical composition and aerobic stability of king grass. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 3:747–755.
- Shunlin, L. and Jindi, W. (2020). *Advanced Remote Sensing (Second Edition)*, Chapter 24 - Remote sensing application in agriculture, Academic Press, Pages 871-914, ISBN 9780128158265.
- Suryadi, H., Judono, J., Putri, M. and Ecclesia, A., Ulhaq, J., Agustina, D. and Sumiati, T. (2022) Biodelignification of lignocellulose using ligninolytic enzymes from white-rot fungi. *Heliyon*, 8:e08865.
- Tekliye, L., Mekuriaw, Y., Asmare, B. and Mehret, F. (2018). Nutrient intake, digestibility, growth performance and carcass characteristics of Farta sheep fed urea-treated rice straw supplemented with graded levels of dried *Sesbania sesban* leaves. *Agricultural & Food Security*. 7(77):1-10.
- Vadiveloo, J., Nurfariza, B. and Fadel, J. (2009). Nutritional improvement of rice husks. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 151. 299-305.
- Yitbarek, M. B. and Tamir, B. (2014). Silage Additives: Review. *Open Journal of Applied Sciences*, 4:258-274.